

QQI

Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Report of the Programme Evaluation Panel

Provider's Name:	National College of Ireland
Address:	Mayor Square
	IFSC
for the second	Dublin 1
04 meandures arread and	2000
QA procedures agreed on:	2006
QA procedures reviewed on:	2010
Programme()s submitted for approval:	Leading to the award of:
 Certificate in Professional Practice in Employability Services 	Certificate in Professional Practice in Employability Services
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
Date submitted to QQI:	
Date of Evaluation:	30 June 2016
Date of Report:	30 June 2016

Membership of the Programme Evaluation Panel:

Role	Name	Area of Expertise	QQI Peer Review Reference Listing
Chairperson	Dr Clare Power	Carlow Institute of Technology	
External Specialist	Paul King	Dublin City University	
Industry/Employer Perspective	Catherine Greene	Kildare and Wicklow Education and Training Board	
Industry/Employer Perspective	Mary Farrell	Longford and Westmeath Education and Training Board	
Secretary	Dr Maurice FitzGerald	National College of Ireland	



001

Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Profile of provider: 1.

The National College of Ireland (NCI) has an immensely proud history as a third level educational institution. Established by the Jesuit order in 1951 as the Catholic Workers College it guickly gained recognition for excellence in its subject fields, particularly human resource management and industrial relations, and for the provision of high quality educational opportunities for employees entering third level education. In the late 1990's the College became the National College of Ireland and entered a new phase of its development expanding its part-time provision to a number of off-campus locations throughout the country and extending its full-time undergraduate programmes to include accountancy, finance and informatics. In 2002 the College moved from its original site in Ranelagh to a new 'State of the Art' purpose built premises in Dublin's International Financial Services Centre.

NCI's educational philosophy and operational structure embody participation, collaboration and applied problem solving strategies. These are enabled by a faculty whose qualifications and professional experience help integrate academic theory with current practical application. The College assesses both the quality of its academic programmes and the academic achievement of its students and utilises the results of these assessments to improve academic and institutional quality.

The primary focus of NCI is on maintaining a centre of excellence that is centred on the changing needs of today's learner. National College of Ireland provides a broad range of highquality education programmes for today's knowledge-based society.

In line with its mission of widening access to education, the College places a strong emphasis on the needs of the learner, bringing a unique student-centred approach to all aspects of its teaching and research. National College of Ireland provides a range of learning options that extend beyond traditional classroom dynamics, including distance learning and internet-based learning programmes.

2. Planning:

Programme development since agreement of QA procedures / the last review

The College has developed a significant number of programmes since its last institutional review culminating in 2015 with a complete programmatic review of its portfolio across the Business, Computing and Education subject areas.

2.1. Purpose of the award

Does the proposed programme address a clear market demand? Yes√

No

Comment: None

2.2. Avoidance of duplication

Has the Programme Development Team identified the availability of similar programmes locally, regionally, nationally?

Comment: None

Yes√ No



2.3. Stakeholder consultation		
Was the level of stakeholder engagement satisfactory?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Support for the programme (industry/business/community)	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
2.4. Efficient and effective use of resources		
Does the proposed programme represent both efficient and effective us	e of the provi	der's resources?
Comment: None	Yes✓	No
Comment. None		
2.5. Resource development over last 5 years (or in direct	support of	this programme)
Specific Comments:		
Staff:	Comment:	None
Accommodation:	Comment:	None
	Comment:	Nono
Information technology:		
Library: Administration:	Comment:	None
Publicity/public information:	Comment:	None
2.6. Planned development over the coming 5 years?		
Have the QQI award standards been explicitly referred to in the program meet those standards at the specified level?	nme and doe	s the programme
Comment: None	Yes✓	No
Has the Provider complied with Protection for Enrolled Learner requiren	nents?	
Comment: None	Yes√	No
2.7. Access		
Is the expected minimum and maximum number of all learners entering stated?		
The RPEL arrangements need to be clarified in the documentat	Yes ion.	No✓



Have any/all prerequisite knowledge, skills or competence or any other specific entry requirement been articulated?

No√

Yes The RPEL arrangements need to be clarified in the documentation.



3. Quality Assurance

3.1. Application of agreed quality assurance procedures for development of programmes

Were the agreed quality assurance procedures for programme development followed?

Comment: None	Yes√	No
Has the programme team demonstrated how programme delivery will be with agreed QA procedures?	e monitored ir	n accordance
	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Are programme management arrangements adequate and coherent?		
Comment: None	Yes√	No



QQI

Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

4. Programme structure and content

Is the programme structure well designed, coherent and fit for its stated purpose?		
Comment: None	Yes√	No
4.1. Programme learning outcomes		
Do the programme learning outcomes comply with national standards proposed?	for the level of	of award
Comment: None	Yes√	No
Are module descriptions adequate and relevant?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Are modules relevant and current?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Does the combination of modules chosen have the coherence to supp	port the propo	sed award?
Comment Mana	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
4.2. Learning Modes		
4.2. Learning Modes Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement outcomes?	ent of the requ	uired learning
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achieveme	ent of the requ Yes√	uired learning No
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achieveme outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the p	Yes√	No
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achieveme outcomes? Comment: None	Yes√	No
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achieveme outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the p learner cohorts? Comment: None	Yes√ programme ar	No nd the proposed
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achieveme outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the p learner cohorts?	Yes√ programme ar	No nd the proposed
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the plearner cohorts? Comment: None 4.3. Assessment strategies	Yes√ programme al Yes√	No nd the proposed No
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the plearner cohorts? Comment: None 4.3. Assessment strategies Are assessment processes and methods adequately described?	Yes√ programme ar Yes√ Yes√	No nd the proposed No No
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the plearner cohorts? Comment: None 4.3. Assessment strategies Are assessment processes and methods adequately described? Comment: None	Yes√ programme ar Yes√ Yes√	No nd the proposed No No
Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement outcomes? Comment: None Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the plearner cohorts? Comment: None 4.3. Assessment strategies Are assessment processes and methods adequately described? Comment: None Are these strategies appropriate to this type of award, in terms of type	Yes√ programme al Yes√ Yes√	No nd the proposed No No nd volume?



Does the assessment strategy underpin the achievement of the relevant standard of knowledge, skill and competence?

Comment: None

Yes√ No

4.4. Duration

What is the intended duration of the Programme?

Typically, the four modules can be studied across two semesters, i.e. during the course of an academic year.

What is the lifespan of the programme (e.g. single cohort intake to satisfy limited local demand; multiple intakes over the following 5 years etc.?)

It is expected that this programme will be able to recruit appropriate students so that it can run from September 2016 onwards.

Does the Panel believe this to be realistic?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Are there flexible modes of participation?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		

4.5. Credits

Is credit allocation in accordance with national and international guidelines?

	Yes√	No
Comment: None		

Considering the level, outcomes and volume of each module, is the number of credits attached to each appropriate?

Yes ✓ No

Considering the stated objective of the programme is the number of credits attached to the award appropriate?

Comment: None

4.6. NFQ Level

Is the proposed level of the programme in accordance with institutional policy/national norms?

Yes√ No

Yes√

No

It is deemed by the panel that, once the conditions have been met and the recommendations taken on board, this programme should operate at Level 8.



4.7. Programme titles and award

Is the title consistent with national policy, is it informative and is it fit for purpose?

Comment: None

Yes√ No

4.8. Transfer and Progression

Has the Programme Development Team identified realistic transfer and progression opportunities/possibilities that learners may avail of following achievement of this award?

Comment: None

Yes√ No



5. Module Titles, Content and Assessment Strategy

Module Title: Introduction to Professional Practice for Emp	oloyability S	ervices
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an	d c) achievable	e?
	Yes	No√
LO5 should be amended.		
Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended lea	rning outcome	s?
Comment: None	Yes√	No
	nt and realistic	-2
Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, curre		
The latest edition of the Leahy text should be used.	Yes	No√
Module Title: Engaging With & Supporting Clients		
Module Title: Engaging With & Supporting Clients Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
	Yes√	No
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?		
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None		
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None	d c) achievabl Yes	e? No√
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an	d c) achievabl Yes	e? No√
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an The LOs should be thinned out so that they are more approp Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?	d c) achievable Yes riate and achie Yes	e? No√ evable. No√
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an The LOs should be thinned out so that they are more approp Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? The indicative content is too high; for a 5 credit module, it sho	d c) achievable Yes riate and achie Yes puld be thinned	e? No√ evable. No√ d out.
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an The LOs should be thinned out so that they are more approp Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?	d c) achievable Yes riate and achie Yes puld be thinned	e? No√ evable. No√ d out.
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an The LOs should be thinned out so that they are more approp Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? The indicative content is too high; for a 5 credit module, it sho Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended lear	d c) achievable Yes riate and achie Yes puld be thinned	e? No√ evable. No√ d out.
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and The LOs should be thinned out so that they are more approp Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? The indicative content is too high; for a 5 credit module, it sho Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended lear The 'psychological states' assignment is inappropriate.	d c) achievable Yes riate and achie Yes buld be thinned trning outcome Yes	e? No√ evable. No√ d out. es? No√
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient an The LOs should be thinned out so that they are more approp Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? The indicative content is too high; for a 5 credit module, it sho Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended lear	d c) achievable Yes riate and achie Yes buld be thinned trning outcome Yes	e? No√ evable. No√ d out. es? No√



QQI Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Module Title: Engaging With & Supporting Employers/Enter	prise	
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and	c) achievable	?
	Yes√	No
Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended lean	ning outcome	s?
	Yes√	No
Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current	t and realistic	?
	Yes√	No
Module Title: Leadership in Employment Support Services		
Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and	c) achievable	?
	Yes✓	No
Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
is the coment sunciently informative and is it in for purpose?	165*	NO
Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learn	ning outcome	s?
	Yes	No√
The second assignment, referred to variously as the 'capstone the culmination of, and build upon, learning achieved in earlier to be explicit (e.g. academic writing skills in the introductory m	modules; this	
Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, curren	t and realistic	?
The Leahy text lacks detailed bibliography information.	Yes	No✓



Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

6. Specific Issues to be addressed by the provider

001

6.1. Conditions of Approval:

- C1. Reflection upon the College's RPEL policy needs to be built more consciously into this document, thereby helping to ensure that the most appropriate candidates are inscribed onto this programme; the evaluation panel wishes to be assured that these RPEL processes will be robust and suitably applied.
- C2. The progression opportunities for students beyond this programme of study should be clarified; this special purpose award may well offer students the incentive to continue their studies, and thus future pathways for graduate students should be clearer.
- C3. There are gaps in the document that need be remedied, ranging from consistency (e.g. the assessment data presented on p.35 does not always align with what it says in the module descriptors) to a lack of detail in the reading lists and gaps in the programme team CVs. In addition, care should be taken with the nature of some of the assessments e.g. the 'psychological states' (p.47) assignment may not be appropriate.

6.2. Recommendations:

- R1. The panel is of the view that the current programme title may not truly reflect the aims or emphasis of the programme, and that an alternative title e.g. *Certificate in Employability Services* may be more appropriate.
- R2. Preparatory work towards the successful completion of the 'capstone project' (i.e. the second assignment for the Leadership in Employment Support Services module) needs to be embedded in all four modules; thus, for example, the formative and summative assessments in the Introduction to Professional Practice for Employability Services module might, as part of their purpose, support the further development of academic writing skills.
- R3. The panel wish to be assured that students will be provided with appropriate guidance regarding how non-contact hours can support their learning; they suggest that appropriate resources (e.g. placed on the College's Virtual Learning Environment) are widely utilised to ensure this.
- R4. The module learning outcomes need to reflect the Level 8 nature of the programme. Thus, for example, LO5 (p.38) should be framed in terms of 'having a knowledge and understanding of' of' rather than 'critically evaluating'. Furthermore, the LOs for **Engaging with & Supporting Clients** were deemed ambitious, and as the next recommendation makes clear, might benefit from being thinned.
- R5. The indicative content of the programme is considered to be high, yet the evidence of skills development is not. The emphasis needs to be on students demonstrating learning through their own practice, front line skills that should be built upon through peer learning, self-directed learning, with an emphasis on managing self rather than just the client.



Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Date: 30th June 2016.

7. Overall Result of Evaluation Panel Review:

QQI

The Programme is recommended to the Programmes and Awards Executive Committee for approval subject to the provision to QQI of a revised submission document including programme schedule(s), which addresses the conditions and recommendations required in the report and which has been signed off by the Panel Chair if necessary.

This report has been agreed by the Evaluation Panel and is signed on their behalf by the Chair.

Panel Chairperson:

Clare Hower

Signed _ Date _

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding the aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

While QQI has endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in the Report is correct, complete and up-to-date, any reliance placed on such information is strictly at the reader's own risk, and in no event will QQI be liable for any loss or damage (including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage) arising from, or in connection with, the use of the information contained in the Report of the External Evaluation Panel.



QQI

Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Appendix 1: Staff

Staff Name	Role
Rosa Callery	Professional Education & Training Manager
Dave Cormack	Professional Education & Training Project Manager
Deirdre Giblin	Head of Professional Education & Training
Nicola Horgan	Associate Lecturer
David Kenny	Associate Lecturer
Robert Ward	Director of Marketing