Report of the External Review Group on the Award Standards for the **SOLAS**

PLUMBINGApprenticeship Programme

June 2014

Table of Contents

ıab	ole of Contents	1
1.	Introduction	3
	Purpose	3
	Membership, process, boundaries and limitations	3
2. on	Level of the Plumbing Apprenticeship programme learning outcomes the National Framework of Qualifications	4
	Recommendation	4
	Supporting Rationale	4
3. Eur	Level of the Plumbing Apprentice Programme Learning Outcomes on the opean Qualifications Framework	
	Recommendation	6
	Supporting Rationale	6
4.	Comparability of Award Standards with other countries	8
	Recommendation	8
	Supporting Rationale	8
5.	Comparable framework levels of similar Craft Awards in other countries.	9
	Recommendation	9
	Supporting Rationale	9
6.	Prerequisite Learning	12
	Recommendation	12
	Supporting Rationale	12
7.	Achievement of programme learning outcomes	14
	Recommendation	14
	Supporting Rationale	14
9.	Transfer and progression	15
	Recommendation	15
	Supporting Rationale	15
Арр	pendix A: Documents consulted by the panel	17
	pendix B Apprenticeship Standards External Review Group Terms Reference	18
Арр	pendix C Membership of the Plumbing External Review Group	20
	pendix D Persons met by the Plumbing External Review Group in the	21
	pendix E Schedule Plumbing External Review Group	

Appendix F Programme learning outcomes- Plumbing Programme	23
Appendix G Extract from DOES Apprenticeship review – Background	
briefing issues Paper May 2013	24

1. Introduction

Purpose

The purpose of the investigation carried out by the External Review Group (ERG) was to examine the plumbing apprenticeship programme as proposed by SOLAS and to determine whether, in the ERG's informed view, the minimum programme learning outcomes outlined in the document were fit for purpose. To this end the ERG was required to answer four questions relating to the position of the programme on the National and European Frameworks of Qualifications (NFQ and EQF) and on the comparability of the programme to similar programmes in Europe and elsewhere (See Appendix B items a) to d).

In addition, the ERG was required to provide observations on the entry requirements for the programme, its general effectiveness in enabling learners to reach the minimum intended programme learning outcomes and the arrangements for progression. (See the second group of items a) to c) in Appendix B)

In essence the ERG was required to position the programme on the NFQ and the EQF and to establish linkages to other elements of the NFQ.

Membership, process, boundaries and limitations

The panel membership included experts on qualifications frameworks and on international comparators. It also included stakeholders from the social partnership and from providers of apprentice training. (See Appendix C)

As part of its deliberations, the panel met with representatives of SOLAS, with employers and with learners. It also met personnel from Education and Training Board Centres, (which provide Phase 2 training), and from Blanchardstown Institute of Technology, (which provides Phase 4, and Phase 6 training.) (See Appendix D)

The review took place over two days. It consisted of sessions with providers, learners and SOLAS. (See schedule in Appendix E).

The ERG was conscious that the review was not a validation process but one that sought to position the programme learning outcomes within the specified frameworks. However the panel also felt that it was appropriate to comment on other aspects of the programme and to make suggestions as to issues that may arise if the recommendations are accepted. This was done in the context of the Review of Apprenticeship Training in Ireland¹ and of development in the further and higher education fields.

The report addresses each of the seven questions separately.

-

¹ DOES(2013)

2. Level of the Plumbing Apprenticeship programme learning outcomes on the National Framework of Qualifications

Recommendation

1. What is the level on the Irish Framework of the minimum apprenticeship programme learning outcomes for the Craft?

Recommendation

The panel recommends that the Plumbing Apprenticeship programme learning outcomes be placed at level 6 on the National Framework of Qualifications. The programme learning outcomes should be amended as described below and any necessary changes to lower level programme learning outcomes made.

Supporting Rationale

SOLAS has organised programme material at three levels of complexity.

First of all, the overall programme learning outcomes are specified. At this level it was possible to match programme learning outcomes to the NFQ level indicators, allowing the group to complete the initial NFQ positioning exercise.

Appendix F sets out the statement of minimum programme learning outcomes as described in the programme under review. Each of these statements was examined in light of the NFQ indicators and discussed in terms of the programme learning outcomes and the expected knowledge, skills and competence of crafts people. This allowed the group to make a broad initial assessment of the level of the programme on the NFQ. The best fit for the programme at this level of abstraction was NFQ level 6. Some elements of knowledge and competence reflected aspects of NFQ level 5, while some know-how and skill reflected elements of NFQ level 7. Overall, the initial positioning of the programme was at NFQ level 6 on the basis of a 'best fit' approach.

At a second level the various major strands or themes of the programme were described. These were referred to as component standards. Learning outcomes for each of these components were specified. These components were expressed over the full length of the programme and did not correspond to a particular phase. There were twelve components; SOLAS did not make any claim as to the NFQ level of the component or to the quantum of learning in FET² credits. This structure is not unexpected as components stretching over all four years of the programme are delivered at different levels.

The actual delivery of the learning experience was organised into modules. Module descriptors specified learning outcomes that were linked to the parent component learning outcomes. These were not specified as to NFQ level or quantity of credit. As

² Further Education and Training

these modules occurred at a particular time in the programme and had specific learning pre-requisites and learning outcomes it should be possible to specify the learning involved in terms of NFQ levels and credit earned

The modules were further subdivided into learning units. These learning units have learning outcomes and learning points associated with them. At this level of granularity the learning outcomes are very narrow and the learning points are very small.

SOLAS provided a useful mapping document that linked the modules to the components. Thus the actual activity undertaken by the learners was traced back to the component standard. The panel examined the component learning outcomes and made adjudication on the level of these outcomes against the NFQ indicators. This is a more precise and robust process than the initial analysis, which was based on the stated programme learning outcomes only. When in doubt, the group traced back from the component learning outcomes to individual activity outcomes. The result of this analysis is presented in the Table 1 below.

Table 1: Programme Component Mapping to NFQ

Component	NFQ level	Component	NFQ level
Central Heating	6	Piping Systems	5
Domestic Biomass	stic Biomass 6 Plant and Process Systems		5
Domestic Heat Pumps 6 Thermal Processes		Thermal Processes Plumbing	6
Domestic Solar Thermal 6		Water Services Component	6
Gas Services	6	Communications	6*
Oil Services	6	Team leadership	6*

This analysis confirmed the placing of the programme at NFQ level 6 as a best fit.

The final two components Communications and Team Leadership are common to all apprentice programmes. The group is sceptical that these modules are at NFQ level 6 and also that the content is entirely relevance to apprentices. The group suggests that the validation process should address this.

Technical members of the group suggested that many of the learning outcomes in the Plumbing Curriculum components underplay the complexity of some of the operations undertaken by plumbers. In particular, they suggested that aspects involving planning and diagnosis were not mentioned in some activities; rather that were described simply as 'installation.' In addition they felt that other competencies and knowledge were not recognised within the curriculum. These included aspects of energy efficiency and the use of computer monitoring systems As IT systems are increasingly part of the tool set of plumbers these should be included along with hand and power tools in learning outcome KS1 (Know-How &Skill Range).

Additionally, the ERG feels that the programme learning outcomes do not adequately reflect the complexity of the programme. For example, the group felt that a better description of learning outcomes K2 (Knowledge *Kind*) was one of evaluation rather than one of explanation. The ERG suggests that the learning outcomes be revisited with a view to formulating learning outcomes that capture the knowledge, skills and competences more comprehensively.

A far more detailed process would be required to validate the programme and to confirm its positioning on the NFQ framework. This would involve establishing that the component and module learning outcomes can deliver, in aggregate, the overall programme learning outcomes. This is of particular importance if it is necessary to establish whether Irish apprenticeships are to be compared with basic apprentice programmes or with the more advanced qualifications.

3. Level of the Plumbing Apprentice Programme Learning Outcomes on the European Qualifications Framework

Recommendation

2. What is the level on the European Qualifications Framework (EQF) of the minimum apprenticeship programme learning outcomes for the Craft?

Recommendation

The group recommends that the SOLAS Plumbing Apprenticeship training programme be placed at Level 5 on the European Qualifications framework.

Supporting Rationale

In assessing the appropriate EFQ level for the Plumbing Apprenticeship programme the group examined the NQAI referencing report³. The group adopted the "best fit" approach, similar to the approach used in the referencing procedure.

The NQAI (2009) referencing exercise placed the Irish NFQ level 6 at EQF level 5. The exercise did distinguish between further and higher education awards but placed both at level 5 of the EQF. It also made the distinction between the Bologna verification process, which positioned qualifications within the Bologna cycles, and the EFQ referencing exercise, which was concerned with levels. This effectively leaves the Advanced Certificate outside of the Bologna process (and thus not a HE programme) but at EQF level 5.

In comparing the Plumbing Apprenticeship programme learning outcomes with the EQF descriptors the group looked at both EQF level 4 and level 5 descriptors. The "best fit" is at EQF level 5. However this does not imply that the entire programme is at EQF level 5. Clearly a four-year programme with a modest entry requirement encompasses a range of levels. However, the ERG concluded that the programme learning outcomes are at EFQ level 5.

³ NQAI, 2009

ERG report on awards standards for SOLAS Plumbing Apprenticeship programme

Table 2: Mapping Programme Learning Outcomes to EQF

	EQF Level 4 Indicators	EQF level 5 indicators	Plumbing Apprenticeship Programme Learning Outcomes	Plumbing Apprenticeship Programme best fit
Knowledge	Factual and theoretical knowledge in broad contexts within a field of work or study	Comprehensive, specialised factual and theoretical knowledge within a field of work or study and awareness of the boundaries of that knowledge	 Demonstrate in-depth knowledge of the design, installation, commissioning and maintenance procedures used in the trade of plumbing. Explain key theoretical concepts used in the design and installation of water and heating systems pertinent to domestic and industrial environments 	EQF Level 5
Skill	A range of cognitive and practical skills required to generate solutions to specific problems in a field of work	A comprehensive range of cognitive and practical skills required to develop creative solutions to abstract problems	 Demonstrate a comprehensive range of specialised plumbing skills using all commonly used plumbing hand and power tools in compliance with health and safety legislation and best practice. Exercise appropriate judgement in the planning design and delivering of all services, installations and maintenance processes relating to the plumbing trade. 	EQF level 5
Competence			EQF level 4	

Completing the EQF referencing exercise required the group to make a judgement of the programme against the EQF without regard to the previous decision in relation to the Irish NFQ referencing process. The three broad indicators of the knowledge, skill and competence at EQF levels 4 and 5 used by the Irish referencing process are listed in the table above and the decisions of the group is indicated

4. Comparability of Award Standards with other countries

Recommendation

3. How does the proposed Craft Award standard compare with the standards of qualifications from a selection of other countries (e.g. from Europe, America, Asia), which fully or partially overlap with it?

Recommendation

Only a broad judgement can be made in this area. The expert opinion available to the group indicated that Irish plumbers matched or were more skilled than their peers in other countries but that they do not match the master craftsman level. Given the length of the programme and the typical entry level of learners a match with the 'advanced craftsman' level available in some countries might be the most appropriate comparison.

Supporting Rationale

This question is a very open question; it is difficult to make direct comparisons. The opinions expressed by the foreign expert group members and those consulted was that the skill level of Irish Plumbers was high.

In the case of the UK, the opinion was that the extent and quality of training of craft persons exceeded that in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. This was particularly evident in the range of skills that an Irish Plumbing craftsperson was expected to achieve. These were seen to be comprehensive and broad and allowed flexibility and potential within the labour marketplace not available to some British counterparts.

Scottish programmes compared more clearly. Scottish programmes are of a similar length to Irish programmes and the range of skills development is similar.

Evidence from Alberta in Canada indicates that Irish plumbing craft persons are well respected and easily match their Albertan peers' competence. The Advanced Certificate NFQ Level 6 is recognised in the workplace as providing a high level of competence.

In some countries in mainland Europe there is a system of two or three cycle vocational training with a master craftsperson qualification being additional to the standard qualification. These include Austria, Luxembourg, Germany, France and Denmark. These master crafts people can have reserved to them certain regulatory, training and employment functions; this is not the case in Ireland. Irish plumbers' skills do not match the master craftsperson's skills. However, the review suggests

that the Irish craftsperson's skills do match the standard craftsperson in these countries. As mentioned above, the intermediate level or advanced craftsperson level could be a better comparator for the Irish craftsperson.

The terms of reference for this review suggest that the review group base its decisions on objective evidence. A comparison of the award standards of a number of countries would require a far more detailed exercise than is possible in this review process.

5. Comparable framework levels of similar Craft Awards in other countries

Recommendation

4. At what EQF levels are similar Craft Awards in a selection of other countries (e.g. from Europe, America, Asia)

Recommendation

Although it is difficult to directly compare programmes, typically, European countries have placed craft vocational education at EFQ levels 3 and 4 with advanced (Master and Technician) craft qualifications placed at EQF levels 4 and 5 with some at 6. Thus the Irish allocation could be seen as an outlier. The extent of this depends on whether the Irish craftsperson is compared against the basic craftsperson or against the technician level.

Supporting Rationale

Within the scope of the review, the most reliable evidence to hand was the results of the various referencing exercises across Europe and relevant information from a background DoES (2013) document. These referencing exercises typically compare national frameworks against the EQF. It is a separate exercise to place particular programmes on the national framework and thus on the EFQ. In some cases this has been done for classes of qualifications. In a small number of cases, particular programmes have been definitively placed on the EQF.

Comparisons between programmes are difficult. A rigorous process would either be a deductive one where programme outcomes and labour market functions were compared as well as career expectations or an inductive one where specific skills and competencies were compared. Either of these approaches is outside the scope of this review process.

Another difficulty is the structure of vocational awards in other countries. Typically vocational training starts immediately after compulsory education. It may involve an introductory or pre-vocational phase that allows learners to enter the labour market with some basic skills. A more specialised phase follows; a phase that allows for the development of autonomous specialised workers. This phase is closest to the craftsperson in Ireland. Some countries offer a Master crafts qualification which indicates a higher level of competency than is available in the Irish system.

Finally, the range of vocational programmes elsewhere overlaps with the short cycle technician programmes in Irish Institutes of Technology. This is particularly true in the new technologies and in administrative areas. Thus what is classed as a vocational programme elsewhere might be placed in Higher Education in Ireland.

The list below gives an indication of the levels where comparable vocational programmes are placed on a best-fit basis. Caution should be exercised in making definitive judgments on the basis of this list.

United Kingdom – England, Wales and Northern Ireland

•	One year programme post GCSE ⁴ leading to QCF L2	EFQ level 3
•	Two year additional training to QCF L3	EQF level 4

United Kingdom – Scotland

Four year programme to SCQF 7
 EQF level 5

Netherlands

There are four levels of VET⁵ with duration lasting from 6 months to 4 years. VET level 3 is the closest to Irish craftsperson and gives access to an advanced VET qualification at VET 4

•	VET Level 3 –Independent practitioner	EQF level 3
•	VET Level 4 – Middle management	EQF level 4

Luxembourg

There are four different certificates and Diplomas available to learners. The CCP⁶ is designed for those who might struggle in the more advanced DAP programme and is three years in duration. DAP⁷ programmes are typically 3 years in duration but can vary depending on specialty. Progression can be to technician DT⁸ or master craftsman qualification.

•	Certificate de <i>Capacite Professionelle</i>	EQF level 2
•	Diplome d'Aptitude professionelle	EQF level 3
•	Diplome de <i>Technicien</i>	EQF level 5
•	Master Craftsman Diploma	EQF level 6

⁴ General Certificate of Secondary Education

⁵ Vocational Education and Training

⁶ Certificate de *capacite professionelle*

⁷ Diplôme d'Aptitude Professionnelle

⁸ Diplome *de technicien*

Italy

Professional operator certificate (Attestato di qualifica di operatore professionale) is a three-year VET programme. The more advanced professional technician's diploma (Diploma professionale di tecnico) is a four-year programme.

•	Professional Operators Certificate	EQF level 3
•	Professional Technician Diploma	EQF level 4

Denmark

Vocational training stretches over EQF levels 2 to 5 depending on the length of programme and the specialty. The referencing documentation gives examples of functions of vocational trainees. In this case there is a clear distinction between two types of technician.

Certificate for vocational educational and training

•	Industrial assistant	EQF level 3
•	Industrial technician	EQF level 4
•	Film and TV production technician	EQF level 5

Germany

The German system of dual vocational training and education produces a very large number of apprentice programmes. (See appendix G)

•	Skilled Worker, journeyman (2 years post-compulsory)	EFQ level 3
•	(Facharbeiter, geselle)Technician (additional one/two years)	EFQ level 4
•	Master Craftsman in Industry (Industriemeister)	FFO level 5

Austria

•	Apprenticeship Diploma	EFQ level 4
•	VET College Diploma	EFQ level 5
•	Master Craftsman's Diploma	EFQ level 6

France

•	Certificate d'aptitude professionnelle	EFQ level 3
•	Brevet Technique des Métiers	EFQ level 4
•	Brevet de Technicien Superieur	EFQ level 5
•	Maitre Artisan	EFQ level 5

6. Prerequisite Learning

Recommendation

5. Is the prerequisite learning for participation in the programme and any other assumptions relating to the programme's target learners specified?

Recommendation

- a) The group agrees that the generic entry requirements for apprenticeship are not suitable for the Plumbing Trade. It recommends that the prerequisite learning for the programme should be raised to reflect the achievements of the majority of the entrants to the programme and to allow for the necessary strengthening of the programme.
- b) It recommends that the standard entry requirement be a Leaving Certificate or an equivalent NFQ level 4 or 5 major award.
- c) However, so that this will not restrict entry from others, such as more mature trainees, it recommends that access from Junior Certificate be open to those with substantial work experience <u>and</u> who have completed either a suitable access programme agreed by SOLAS at levels 4 or 5 or an RPL process.

Supporting Rationale

There are two symmetrical issues with regard to prerequisite learning of programmes within a framework. The first is that the specified prerequisite learning should be adequate to allow learners to be successful on the programme. The second is that the introductory elements of a programme make full use of the prior learning of its entrants.

Currently, the entry requirements for all apprenticeship programmes specify an age requirement and a minimum academic achievement. There is no discrimination between different trades that may require differing levels of academic or vocational preparation. The requirements are:

- a) Minimum age for the employment of an apprentice is 16 years.
- b) The minimum educational requirements are: Grade D in five subjects in the Junior Certificate Examination (NFQ level 3 EFQ levels 2) or the successful completion of a pre-apprenticeship programme of four modules including Mathematics, Science and Technical Drawing at Junior Certificate level.

Evidence indicates that applicants for apprenticeships normally exceed these requirements. Data supplied by SOLAS indicates that 71% of new entrants in 2013 had Leaving Certificates (NFQ levels 4 and 5 - EQF levels 3 and 4) and 28% had Junior Certificates. Only 1% fell into the 'Other 'category. These figures were not disaggregated by trade.

An age analysis of the same cohort shows that only 7% were under 18 years of age, and 40% were over 20 years of age. This suggests that most of those with Junior Certificates did not take up apprenticeships from school but may have had considerable work experience.

The success rate for entrants in the years 2003 to 2007 with Leaving Certificates was 73%. The success rate for entrants in the years 2003 to 2007 with Junior Certificates was 55%.

Again figures for the plumbing trade were not separately available. A more detailed analysis would be required to ascertain the reasons for the unsuccessful entrants leaving the programmes. However, broadly it would appear that few entrants arrive with the minimum age and academic requirements. It must be said that there are notable exceptions to this where young apprentices have scored well in World Skills Competitions.

The review group is of the opinion that the minimum entry requirements and parts of the curriculum are out of line with the capabilities of the actual entrant groups. The entry requirements should be closer to the achievements of the large majority of the second level school population. Furthermore, ensuring that the standard of the learning points of the initial phases of the programme are aligned with the intellectual capability of the entrants will serve to provide engaging learning experiences.

There are three Leaving Certificate formats: the standard, the applied and the vocational. The standard leaving certificate is further divided into ordinary and higher levels. It is unclear which of these or which elements of these are at NFQ level 5 and which at NFQ level 4. Given recent trends in the school leaving age and government policy in this regard the group suggests that a level 4 or 5 (NFQ) qualification be the basic requirement. This will allow all those with a leaving certificate or any type or level to be eligible for a plumbing apprenticeship. In addition the achievement of a level 4 or level 5 major award through other means. PLC, RPL etc., will confer eligibility.

The group recognises that the early school leavers with work experience see apprenticeships as a pathway for advancement. This route should remain open but an RPL process should be put in place for such learners and it should be managed centrally by SOLAS.

7. Achievement of programme learning outcomes

Recommendation

8. Will the programme enable its target learners to attain the minimum intended programme learning outcomes reliably and efficiently (in terms of learner effort)?

Recommendation

- a) This is a critical question that, more properly, should be put following a full validation process. It is particularly important as the claims made for the programme can only be ultimately justified by establishing the effectiveness of the programme in delivering the overall programme learning outcomes.
- b) In the light of the recommendation at 8 above and 11 below, there is scope to look at the duration and content of the programme.
- c) In addition, the panel recommends robust evaluation of the 'on-the-job' phase of the apprenticeship programme in terms of monitoring and supervision.

Supporting Rationale

The group is of the opinion that the programme will enable its learners to reach the outcomes specified with one caveat. It suggests that a normal interpretation of the content and outcomes of the modules on communications and team leadership might be beyond a typical apprentice. It is also the case that both of these modules could be modified to reflect less challenging outcomes which are still appropriate to NFQ level 6 and to crafts people.

As stated above the group feels that a four year apprenticeship in plumbing delivers some higher level outcomes in planning, organisation and diagnosis of problems which are not at present explicitly expressed in the learning outcome of the programme.

9. Transfer and progression

Recommendation

10. Are the arrangements for transfer between the apprenticeship programme and other programmes, including other apprenticeship programmes, fair on learners (not unduly restrictive)?

Recommendation

- a) That SOLAS allocates either credits to elements of the programme or specifies exit awards at levels 4 or 5 for partial completion of the programme.
- b) That SOLAS considers strengthening the IT elements of the curriculum to facilitate progression on to cognate programmes at NFQ level 7 and to career programmes in the Craft area.

Supporting Rationale

There are at present relatively few higher education programmes that give plumbing crafts people advanced entry through exemptions from modules. In the 2010 survey of HEI⁹s, FETAC found that there was progression to only two programmes with an exemption of one semester offered on one of those (FETAC, 2010).

The group heard evidence of successful transfers of apprentices to programmes that are at a higher level in the framework. These were in allied areas such as NFQ level 7 programmes in site management studies. However it would seem that applicants individually negotiated these transfers and that there are few established or publicised pathways. Partially, this is due to the lack of cognate programmes. The more recent development of NFQ level 7 programmes in areas such as Building Services might allow more productive progression routes.

It should be noted that all of these transfers were based on the existing plumbing programme to existing higher education programmes. In the view of specialist members of the group the new programme should attract exemptions from some building service related NFQ level 6 and 7 programmes.

Traditionally apprenticeship programmes have been relatively disconnected from other elements of the educational system. Craft qualifications are seen as terminal qualifications and little attention has been given to linkages to other elements of the system. For example there is in the Irish system at present no advanced craft qualification as in other countries and no subsidiary or exit awards are available.

SOLAS personnel indicated that in their view apprenticeship programmes are of a piece and should not be disaggregated. For this reason credits have not been allocated to phases of the programme and exit awards have not been designed.

-

⁹ Higher Education institution

While those who exit early can obtain transcripts indicating their achievements, no exit awards are offered.

The group is of the view that the purpose of the NFQ and the EQF is to facilitate free movement of labour within the EU. A large numbers of Irish workers seek work abroad and as already noted, a very large percentage of apprentices do not complete their programmes. It seems incongruous that a considerable quantity of learning is not quantified and accredited and that intermediate exit points are not specified; exit points which might allow for the making of an award.

The group heard from crafts people that they faced increased changes in techniques and in technologies, and from employers that the implementation of these was normally managed by crafts people with middle management roles. The group notes the lack of advanced programmes for craft persons and notes the recommendation by the Review of Apprenticeship report (DoES 2013) that such programmes should be developed. These programmes could develop middle management craft competencies that are common on master crafts' programmes elsewhere.

To facilitate these it would be desirable that the apprentice programme more adequately prepared learners for level 7 work. This can be achieved by strengthening the theoretical aspects of the programme, by emphasising the aspect of reflection and by fostering learning to learn competence.

Ends

Appendix A: Documents consulted by the panel

DOES (2013a) Review of Apprenticeship Training in Ireland, December 2013

DOES (2013b) Apprenticeship review - Background briefing issues Paper

European Communities (2008) The European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning (EFQ)

FETAC (2010) Progression from FETAC Advanced Certificate- Craft to Higher Education Courses

NARC (2009) Assessment in the FÁS Apprenticeship Programme. Dublin: National Apprenticeship Advisory Committee.

National Qualifications Authority of Ireland (2009) Referencing of the Irish National Framework of Qualification (NFQ) to the European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning (EQF). Dublin: NQAI.

NQAI (2008) National Qualifications Authority of Ireland (2008) Background paper on the development, implementation and impact of the National Framework of Qualifications and related policies on access, transfer and progression. Dublin

QQI (2014a) Common Award System, Restatement of Policy and Guidelines 2014 version 4.0

SOLAS (2014a) Awards Standards for Apprenticeship Programmes, Terms of Reference for External Review groups

SOLAS (2014b) Plumbing Certificate

SOLAS (2014c) Plumbing Curriculum, Activity to Standards Mapping Document

Reports referencing National and Community Frameworks of Qualifications with the European Qualifications Framework from the countries and communities (Ireland, United Kingdom, France, Germany, Belgium (Flemish community) and Netherlands), available at: http://ec.europa.eu/egf/documentation_en.htm

Published by the various national Authorities and submitted to the European Community.

Appendix B Apprenticeship Standards External Review Group Terms of Reference

SOLAS has prepared in agreement with QQI the following set of documents reporting on the revision of the curriculum for apprentice programmes in [name of the craft].

- Standards
- Curriculum
- Sample Assessment
- NARC Report
- Background to Apprenticeship to include introductory note on apprenticeship review

The information provided by these documents addresses the following topics (among others):

- 1. The minimum intended apprenticeship programme learning outcomes in the Craft.
- 2. Evidence that the minimum intended craft apprenticeship programme learning outcomes (i.e. overall outcomes) are to be assessed validly reliably, fairly and consistently for the purpose of ensuring that award candidates meet the required standard.
- 3. A detailed specification of the craft apprenticeship programme of education and training that explains how it enables the standard in (1) to be achieved by all who successfully complete the programme.
- 4. The NAAC Review Group's report for the craft, including conclusions and recommendations.
- 5. The External Review Group may request additional information it considers necessary for the performance of its functions.
- 6. The NARC Report

The review panel is required to meet with participating training providers, participating employers, learners who are involved in the current Craft apprenticeship programme, SOLAS and QQI (on qualifications and quality assurance matters).

Considering the documents provided including the NFQ award-type descriptors and other information gathered, the External Review Panel is required to report on the following:

From the Craft perspective, are the minimum intended Craft apprenticeship programme learning outcomes outlined in the documents fit for purpose?

- a) What is the level on the Irish Framework of the minimum apprenticeship programme learning outcomes for the Craft
- b) What is the level on the European Qualifications Framework (EQF) of the minimum apprenticeship programme learning outcomes for the Craft
- c) How does the proposed Craft award standard compare with the standards of qualifications from a selection of other countries (e.g. from Europe, America, Asia), which fully or partially overlap with it?
- d) At what EQF levels are similar Craft Awards in a selection of other countries (e.g. from Europe, America, Asia)

Considering the programme the External Review Panel is requested to provide observations on the following:

- a) Is the prerequisite learning for participation in the programme and any other assumptions relating to the programme's target learners specified?
- b) Will the programme enable its target learners to attain the minimum intended programme learning outcomes reliably and efficiently (in terms of learner effort)?
- c) Are the arrangements for transfer between the apprenticeship programme and other programmes including other apprenticeship programmes fair on learners (not unduly restrictive)?

The members of the External Review Group should base their responses on objective evidence. Members should declare any relevant interests and ensure that their membership of and involvement in the standards Review Group does not give rise to any conflict of interest—if a member is in any doubt about this he or she should consult QQI.

Appendix C Membership of the Plumbing External Review Group

Chairman – Qualifications Framework Expert Qualifications Framework Specialist:	Dr Anne Walsh, Academic Co-ordinator, Adult Training and Education Studies, Centre for Adult Learning and Professional Development, NUI, Galway Trevor Clark, Former Head NQF Wales, International Qualifications Framework expert.	
Education & Training Specialist with expertise in apprenticeship	Liz Hyslop, Accreditation Manager, Scottish Qualifications Authority	
Secretary:	Stephen McManus, Former Registrar Dundalk Institute of Technology	
Technical Experts Ireland	Patrick O Riordan, World Skills Expert and Plumbing Lecturer, Cork Institute of Technology.	
Technical Experts Ireland	Kieran O Neill, Plumbing representative TEEU	
Technical Experts Overseas	·	
Employer Representative	Simon Watson, H.A. O Neill	
Learner Representative	Ciaran Coady, World Skills Gold Medal Winner; Jones Engineering	

Appendix D Persons met by the Plumbing External Review Group in the course of the review

SOLAS

Chris Feeney Apprenticeship Manager

Martin McMahon Assistant Manager

Finglas Training Centre, Dublin City Education and Training Board

Maria Murphy Training Centre Manager

Martin Carroll Assistant Manager

Dermot Cooke Instructor

Industry

Seamus Carr Burlington Group

Blanchardstown Institute of Technology

Damian Keenan Lecturer in Plumbing, DIT

Canadian Industry

Fred Badke Presiding Officer, Alberta Provincial Apprenticeship

Committee- Plumbing

Appendix E Schedule Plumbing External Review Group

Day 1 – June 11th

9:00am: Panel Group discussion gathering feedback on material sent

11.00am SOLAS Apprenticeships Representatives Q&A (Chris Feeney, Martin

McMahon)

12:30pm: Lunch Break 1:30pm: Panel Resumes

2:30pm: Phase 2 Instructor Dermot Cooke Finglas Training Centre,

Martin Carroll Assistant Manager and Maria Murphy Training Centre

Manager

4:30pm: Panel Re-group 5:30pm Day 1 ends

Day 2 – June 12th

9:15am: Panel meeting

10:30am: Employer Seamus Carr of Burlington Group

11.30am: Damien Keenan ITB

12:30pm: Lunch

1:30pm: Panel Re-group

2:30pm: Input from Canadian Expert: Fred Badke

3.30pm: Panel reconvenes

4.00pm: Verbal feedback to SOLAS representatives

5:30pm Day 2 ends

Appendix F Programme learning outcomes- Plumbing Programme

Plumbing Programme Learning Outcome	Strand	
Demonstrate in-depth knowledge of the design, installation, commissioning and maintenance procedures used in the trade of plumbing.	Knowledge Breadth	K1
Explain key theoretical concepts used in the design and installation of water and heating systems pertinent to domestic and industrial environments.	Knowledge Kind	K2
Demonstrate a comprehensive range of specialised plumbing skills using all commonly used plumbing hand and power tools in compliance with all relevant health and safety legislation and best practice.	Know How and Skill Range	KS1
Exercise appropriate judgement in planning, designing and delivering all services, installations and maintenance processes relating to the plumbing trade.	Know How and Skill Selectivity	KS2
Transfer and apply theoretical understanding and technical know-how to inspect, diagnose faults, maintain and repair piping systems in a wide variety of domestic and industrial contexts.	Competence Context	C1
Exercise substantial independence in the workplace, taking responsibility for plumbing duties performed by others under their direction, and interacting with a variety of individuals and groups to include customers, colleagues and suppliers.	Competence Role	C2
Take initiative to identify and address self- development and training needs in both an employment and structured training environments.	Competence Learning to learn	C3
Demonstrate an awareness of the function and role of the plumber in society to include an awareness of energy conservation and other relevant ecological concerns.	Competence Insight	C4

Appendix G Extract from DOES Apprenticeship review – Background briefing issues Paper May 2013

8.2 International: Brief outline of the structure of apprenticeship systems in three sample EU countries and Switzerland

This section sets out briefly a number of alternative structures of apprenticeship in Germany, Finland, Norway and Switzerland for comparative purposes. The table below compares the systems in each country (as well as Ireland) across 5 key headings. This information is expanded upon in sections 8.2.1, 8.2.2, 8.2.3 and 8.2.4.

	Germany	Finland	Norway	Switzerland	Ireland
Level of Training	Vocational School	Upper Secondary Level	Upper Secondary Level	Upper Secondary Level	NFQ level 6 (Further Ed./Higher Ed)
Duration of Training	3 years on average	3 years (plus options for further and specialised training.)	4 years	Between 2 and 4 years	4 years
Format of Training	Min. 1/2 day per week education in Vocational School; balance with employer.	70%-80% of time training in workplace; balance in education in vocational institutions.	2 + 2 Model: 2 years in school followed by 2 years in enterprise.	Typically takes place at 3 locations: Vocational School; Enterprise; and Industry Training Centre. Attendance pattern changes.	Phases 1, 3, 5 & 7 are on-the- job training with employer. Phase 2 with FAS. Phases 4 & 6 in Education sector (IOTs or CFEs).
Funding Provision	Govt. funding of schools; Enterprise funding of apprentice ship pay/ cost of trainers/ materials etc.	All training costs funded by Govt.; Enterprise pays apprentice wage.	All training costs funded by Govt.; Enterprise pays apprentice wage.	VET funding is shared between public and private sources. Enterprise pays apprentice wage.	Funding from Exchequer and NTF (Employer levies). Enterprise pays apprentice wage. State pays off-the-job allowance.
Apprentice Payment Rates	Salary which increases each year. On average a third of skilled worker's starting wage.	Salary is approx. 80% of skilled worker's wages in relevant sector.	Salary increases from 30% to 80% of skilled worker's salary during 2 year apprenticeship.	Apprentice wages are set through collective bargaining at company level.	Wages are set through negotiation between unions and employers in industry phases.

8.2.1 Germany

- Apprenticeship in Germany lasts approximately 3 years on average
- An apprentice must spend at least 1/2 day a week training in a vocational school
- German firms recruiting apprentices do not receive direct funding from public funds. Enterprises cover their own training costs (apprenticeship pay, cost of trainers, material etc.)
- Government funding of schools
- The apprentice earns a salary which increases every year and is on average one third of the starting wage for a skilled worker
- Public funds are also available to assist groups of small firms to set up and run joint training facilities (Group Training Associations)
- Some government financial support is available for training firms. Companies
 employing a trainee (beginning between July 2008 and December 2010) who
 has been searching for a training company for at least one year without
 success can receive a bonus of up to €6,000 (Hirschner, 2008)

8.2.2 Finland

- Training provided by Upper Secondary Level vocational institutions
- Initial Vocational Qualification: Average duration of Studies 3 years
- Further Vocational Qualification: Average duration of studies 4-12 months
- Specialist Vocational Qualification: Average duration of studies 4-12 months.
- Approximately 70-80% of the time is spent in the training workplace under the responsibility of on-the-job instructors
- Training is financed by the state. The annual subsidy paid for one apprentice
 is calculated from the average costs of vocational training in Finland, and it is
 80% of this average cost. The costs of upper secondary VET in 2007 were on
 average €8,400 per student
- The state is responsible for fully covering funding 100% of the unit cost confirmed by the Ministry of Education & Culture
- Apprentices on off-the-job training receive a daily allowance, family allowance and transportation and accommodation allowances from the state
- Employer pays apprentice a wage, approx. 80% of skilled workers wages in that particular field. Employer is not obliged to pay wages for time spent in theoretical training
- Vocational Education providers receive statutory government transfer to cover the operating costs on the basis of unit prices determined by the Ministry of Education & Culture. The transfer is calculated to cover 42% of the operating costs on average. The unit prices are scaled per provider
- Some providers also receive performance-based funding based on Effectiveness (transfer to labour market), Processes (Drop Out rate) and Staff Qualifications. The performance based funding does not exceed 3% of the total amount of government transfer

The state grants training compensation for the employers, which is compensation for the training given at the workplace. The amount of training compensation paid to the employer is agreed upon separately for each apprenticeship contract before the contract is approved

8.2.3 Norway

- 2+2 Model 2 Years education and training in school followed by 2 years apprenticeship in an enterprise
- The apprenticeship training is funded over public budgets and the training enterprises receive a state grant for each apprentice (in 2009: € 11,347 over two years)
- Apprentices in upper secondary IVET are entitled to grants and subsidised loans through the State Education Loan Fund if they come from low income families. They also receive a subsistence grant if they live away from home
- In addition to the basic subsidy, the training company also receives approx.
 €5,000 per apprentice in traditional crafts for example a trade which may die out due to lack of recruitment or the trade has cultural value
- In 2009, Enterprises who employed apprentices were granted €600 per apprentice. This is in addition to the ordinary grant designed to advance an apprentice with training rights to his or her qualifying examination.

This measure may have contributed to the intake of apprentices only falling marginally. Apprentices are entitled to a salary agreed upon through a centralised system of collective bargaining. The salary corresponds to the productive work conducted. Since the productive work increases throughout the 2 year apprenticeship, the salary increases accordingly. Salary increases from 30% to 80% of a skilled worker's salary during the two years of apprenticeship

8.2.4 Switzerland

- Training is at upper-secondary level
- Programmes can take between two and four years
- Typically takes place at three different learning/training locations: vocational school; host company; and industry training centre
- Common patterns are one day per week at the vocational school and four days at the host company; or two days at the vocational school and three days that the company; or alternating between some weeks attending classes that the vocational school and some weeks attending an industry training centre
- To overcome an issue with some companies becoming increasingly specialised, training associations have been established whereby several companies share the task of training various apprentices so that they receive a broad enough education
- System is market driven. Students need first to find a place in a company and sign a contract. A place in a vocational school is then automatic

- Match between apprenticeship demand and supply is monitored through the "apprenticeship barometer" based on surveys of businesses and young people
- All vocational teachers (at schools), trainers (at host companies) and instructors (at industry training centres) must meet certain standards
- Funding of VET programmes is shared between public and private sources
 In 20-6, about 45% of VET costs were privately funded
- Apprentice wages are set through collective bargaining at company level following recommendations from the industry associations.