

Independent Evaluation Report on an Application for Validation of a Programme of Education and Training

Part 1 A

Provider name	Dublin Business School
Date of site visit 13 May 2019	
Date of report	28 August 2019
Is this a re-validation report	Yes
(Yes/No)	

Overall recommendations

Principal	Title	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)	
programme			
	Award	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)	
	Credit ¹	180 ECTS	
Recommendation		Satisfactory	
	Satisfactory OR Satisfactory subject to proposed conditions ² OR Not Satisfactory		

Embedded programme ³	Title	N/a
	Award	N/a

¹ Specify the credit units because more than one system of units is in use. E.g. 20 (ECTS).

Further, in exceptional cases the 'special conditions' may be used to identify parts of the application that are considered satisfactory on a stand-alone basis. For example, an application might propose a programme to be provided at two locations but the independent evaluation report may find the application satisfactory on condition that it be provided only at one specified location and not at the other. These conditions will not however be used to recommend that QQI can be satisfied with a programme conditional on a different QQI award (e.g. at a lower NFQ level or having a different CAS award title) being sought than the one identified in the application.

² Normally an application that fails to meet the criteria in any of its aspects will be considered as not satisfactory. Nevertheless, so as to ensure that the validation process will not be implemented unreasonably, if an independent evaluation finds that a programme virtually meets the validation criteria but needs some minor modifications, the independent evaluation could conclude "Satisfactory subject to recommended special conditions" where the special conditions prescribe the defects that require to be corrected.

³ Copy this panel for each embedded programme.

Exit award	N/a
(Yes/No)	
Credit	N/a
Recommendation	N/a
Satisfactory OR	
Satisfactory subject to	
proposed conditions OR	
Not Satisfactory	

Module ⁴	Title	N/a
	Award	N/a
	Credit	N/a
	Recommendation	N/a
	Satisfactory OR Satisfactory subject to proposed conditions OR Not Satisfactory	

Evaluators

Evaluators				
Name	Role	Principal occupation		
Dr Andrew O'Regan	Chair	Assistant Registrar, Carlow College, St. Patrick's, College Street, Carlow		
Professor David Gwynn	Academic in	Emeritus Professor of Law, University		
Morgan	Subject area	College Cork		
Eavan Murphy	Academic in	Law Lecturer, Dublin Institute of Technology,		
Eavail ividipily	Subject area	Dublin 2		
Mark Declan Finan BL	Professional/ Employer Representative	The Law Library, The Four Courts, Inns Quay, Dublin 7		
Ellen Coll	Learner representative on the panel	Student, Trinity College Dublin		
Mary Doyle	Secretary	Independent Academic QA Consultant		

Part 1 B Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

Names of centres where the programmes are to be provided	Maximum number of learners(per centre)	Minimum number of learners
DBS: Dublin Campus	200	10

Enrolment interval (normally 5 years)	Date of first intake	September 2019	
	Date of last intake	August 2024	
		following advice from QQI	

 $^{^4}$ A module leading to a QQI award is a special case of an embedded programme. Discrete modules are only validated on a stand-alone basis if they are to lead to a QQI award.

	re multiple intakes per year)			
Maximum number of annual intakes	2 intakes (September and January)			
Maximum total number of learners	200			
per intake (over all centres)				
Programme duration (months from	Full-time: 3 academic years of 24 weeks each (6 semesters)			
start to completion)	Part-time: 3 academic years 24 weeks each (6 semesters)			
Target learner groups	This programme is aimed at learners wishing to undertake an undergraduate primary bachelor's degree programme in law and also at those wishing to study for a law degree on a part-time basis. The programme is aimed both at those who wish to pursue professional qualifications in law after graduating along with those seeking the general legal education and useful transferable skills that are an integral part of the programme.			
	On completion of this programme, learners will possess a strong foundation in all of the core areas of law (as prescribed by the professional bodies), as well as knowledge of certain more specialised legal areas that will facilitate work in practice, in industry and in the public and non-profit sectors. The skills pillar in the programme ensures that learners will have acquired critical and innovative thinking and reasoning skills, strong research and information literacy skills and communication skills.			
	 The programme is targeted at the following learners: Recent school-leavers who wish to gain an undergraduate education in law. Full and part-time learners who are seeking career advancement by obtaining a legal education, including both those currently seeking employment and those working wishing to upskill in the area of law. Applicants who meet the minimum entry requirements of two H5 + four O6/H7, to include English in Irish Leaving Certificate. Any FETAC Level 5/6 award with three Distinctions will also meet the entry requirements for all programmes. Applicants without this will be considered on the basis of the recognition of prior learning (RPL). Such applicants are considered on a case-by-case basis. Mature applicants who are over 23 years of age on the 1st 			
Annual acombine for previous	of January on the year of admission, and do not meet the minimum entry requirements, are also welcomed.			
Approved countries for provision	Ireland			
Delivery mode: Full-time/Part-time	Full-time and Part-time			
The teaching and learning	1. Classroom lectures			
modalities	Case-based learning Practical skills sessions			
	3. Practical skills sessions			
	4. Workshops5. Tutorials			
	6. Individual and group work			
	o. marriada ana group work			

	7. Online synchronous and as	synchronous learning		
Brief synopsis of the programme The Bachelor of Laws (Hons) programme aims to provide				
(e.g. who it is for, what is it for,	learners with a rigorous legal			
what is involved for learners, what	aims to create in learners a cr	, -		
it leads to.)	foundational legal concepts and principles, and an ability			
10.0000 10.7	to make connections between			
	relationship between the vari	• •		
	to enhance the practical skills			
	analysis, research, communic			
	organisation skills. The conter	•		
	modules on the programme ensure that learners develop			
	their understanding across the breadth of the core legal			
	areas, along with some more specialised ones, as well as			
	allowing learners to explore c	ertain areas in more detail to		
	allow for full expression of the	eir analytical and reasoning		
	abilities.			
	The programme also aims to	orenare learners for		
		gal qualifications in Ireland by		
	requiring students to develop	· ,		
	understanding of the core Iris			
	progression to the Law Societ	-		
	Honorable Society of King's In	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	In addition, as the programme			
	England and Wales, Northern			
	programme also aims to provide a solid base for learners			
	seeking to obtain professional legal qualifications in other			
	international jurisdictions.			
	The programme aims to equip students for a range of			
	careers by using teaching and learning techniques that			
	develop their intellectual and transferable skills. These			
	skills are designed to strengthen their employability in			
	careers that may be unrelated to the professional practice			
	of law.			
	Widening access to the benef rigorous legal education rema			
	the programme. In addition,	•		
	strengthening the transferable			
	programme in order to enhance the employment opportunities of graduates.			
6	1,			
Summary of <u>specifications</u> for	Lecturing staff will have a minimum of a Master's and/or			
teaching staff	PhD in law, or an Honours Bachelors Level 8 degree and a professional legal qualification.			
Summary of specifications for the	Staff to learner ratio Learning activity type			
ratio of learners to teaching-staff	1/100 Classroom sessions			
	1/25	Workshops		
	1/25	Practical sessions		

Overall WTE staff/le	earner ratio.⁵
----------------------	----------------

Programmes being replaced by the Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

Programmes being replaced (applicable to applications for revalidation)		Arrangement for enrolled learners	Date when replaced programme is planned to cease completely	
Code	Title	Last enrolment date	Indicate whether "Teach out" or "Transfer to replacement programme"	
PG21181	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)	September 2018	Transfer to replacement programme	September 2019

Embedded programme⁶

Names of centres where the programmes are to be provided	Maximum number of learners(per centre)	Minimum number of learners
N/a	N/a	N/a

Other noteworthy features of the application

The panel evaluated the observations, comments and suggestions from internal and external stakeholders and these were duly factored into the review process. Internal stakeholders consisted of students and staff (academic, support and administrative).

In the review and design of the Bachelor of Law (Hons) for re-validation, the Programme Team, carried out consultations on the programme design and module content with relevant employers and a range of key industry stakeholders and utilised strategic as well as academic sources and comparator analysis. They have engaged with the professional bodies as well as within industry to ensure the programme is appropriate for graduates who wish to pursue a variety of paths. In addition, an extensive consultation with graduates of the programme was also carried out for the review.

The panel found that the consultation process had been comprehensive and it was concluded that the proposed programmes were fit for purpose. In general, the panel found that the documents provided were well structured, clear in the presentation of facts and easy to read.

A summary and quantitative analysis of the recruitment, learner enrolment, application and performance statistics for the existing programme over the past five years was provided for the

⁵ This is the total wholetime equivalent number of staff dedicated exclusively to this programme divided by the maximum number of learners that can be enrolled with that complement of staff.

⁶This only needs to be completed where embedded programmes may be offered independently of the principal programme. Add more subsections if there are more than one embedded programmes proposed to lead to QQI awards.

existing programme covering the areas specified in the Programme Review Manual 2016/2017 Section 3. At the time of the review, enrolments and applications were at their highest level since 2014.

However, in terms of benchmarking grades and QQI Award Classifications the panel concluded that the analysis provided for both programmes was not comprehensive. The panel now notes that QQI has recently produced a draft report on award classification distributions across higher education institutions and access to this will allow DBS to better address this piece of analysis going forward.

Commentary was provided on the teaching strategy, the use of guest speakers, the use of Moodle as a virtual learning environment and the current and planned developments for the blended learning elements of the programme.

Programme-specific arrangements for monitoring progress and guiding, informing and caring for learners were also discussed. A tour, including a short presentation of the facilities and services, was provided, and the panel concluded that the learning environment was consistent with the needs of the learners.

Evidentiary documentation of the implementation of the programme quality assurance arrangements were provided for the panel in the documentation pack. The panel concluded that the quality assurance arrangements applied to the programmes are generally effective, however, the College needs to ensure that it is taking all the steps to close the quality assurance loop and address the issues identified through the application of the quality assurance feedback processes.

Part 1C Evaluation of the Case for an Extension of the Approved Scope of Provision (where applicable).

N/a

Comment on the case for extending the applicant's Approved Scope of Provision to enable provision of this programme.

N/a	
-----	--

Part 2A Evaluation against the validation criteria

QQI's validation criteria and sub-criteria are copied here in grey panels.

Criterion 1

The provider is eligible to apply for validation of the programme

- a) The provider meets the prerequisites (section 44(7) of the 2012 Act) to apply for validation of the programme.
- b) The application for validation is signed by the provider's chief executive (or equivalent) who confirms that the information provided is truthful and that all the applicable criteria have been addressed.
- c) The provider has declared that their programme complies with applicable statutory, regulatory and professional body requirements.⁷

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no, partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

As an established provider of higher education programmes, DBS has met the prerequisites (section 44(7) of the 2012 Act) to apply for validation of these programmes. It was noted that DBS has in place procedures for access, transfer and progression. DBS has also established arrangements for the Protection of Enrolled Learners (PEL) which have been approved by QQI.

DBS participated in the Pilot Re-Engagement process for re-approval of QA procedures with QQI in 2017/18 and has submitted an application for full Re-Engagement to QQI in early 2019. Process, policies and procedures were reviewed as part of the re-engagement application and self-evaluation process.

Within the programme documentation provided, DBS provided a copy of the letter to be submitted to QQI with the application for the revalidation of the programmes. The letter contained the signature and declaration required under sub-criteria 1b) and 1c).

Criterion 2

The programme objectives and outcomes are clear and consistent with the QQI awards sought

- a) The programme aims and objectives are expressed plainly.
- b) A QQI award is specified for those who complete the programme.
 - (i) Where applicable, a QQI award is specified for each embedded programme.
- c) There is a satisfactory rationale for the choice of QQI award(s).
- d) The award title(s) is consistent with unit 3.1 of QQI's Policy and Criteria for Making Awards.

⁷This criterion is to ensure the programme can actually be provided and will not be halted on account of breach of the law. The declaration is sought to ensure this is not overlooked but QQI is not responsible for verifying this declaration of enforcing such requirements.

- e) The award title(s) is otherwise legitimate for example it must comply with applicable statutory, regulatory and professional body requirements.
- f) The programme title and any embedded programme titles are
 - (i) Consistent with the title of the QQI award sought.
 - (ii) Clear, accurate, succinct and fit for the purpose of informing prospective learners and other stakeholders.
- g) For each programme and embedded programme
 - (i) The minimum intended programme learning outcomes and any other educational or training objectives of the programme are explicitly specified.⁸
 - (ii) The minimum intended programme learning outcomes to qualify for the QQI award sought are **consistent with** the relevant QQI awards standards.
- h) Where applicable, the **minimum intended module learning outcomes** are explicitly specified for each of the programme's modules.
- i) Any QQI minor awards sought for those who complete the modules are specified, where applicable.

For each minor award specified, the minimum intended module learning outcomes to qualify for the award are consistent with relevant QQI minor awards standards.⁹

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel found that the aims, objectives and rationale for the programme were expressed clearly.

The MIPLOs were informed by the QQI Generic Awards Standards, and the *Awards Standards for Honours Bachelor of Laws and Master of Laws* (2014), and have been mapped against these standards. The panel concluded that the MIPLOs and MIMLOs have been clearly outlined and were appropriate to the level of the award.

The panel noted that MIPLO #9 needed to be reflected throughout the documentation and mapped accordingly to indicate which MIMLOs facilitate its achievement through engagement with contemporary issues, and support learners to provide them with a world view and an awareness of their role in society. This reflection was included, in the programme document, in the College's response to the panel.

Criterion 3

The programme concept, implementation strategy, and its interpretation of QQI awards standards are well informed and soundly based (considering social, cultural, educational, professional and employment objectives)

a) The development of the programme and the intended programme learning outcomes has sought

⁸Other programme objectives, for example, may be to meet the educational or training requirements of a statutory, regulatory or professional body.

⁹Not all modules will warrant minor awards. Minor awards feature strongly in the QQI common awards system however further education and training awards may be made outside this system.

- out and taken into account the views of stakeholders such as learners, graduates, teachers, lecturers, education and training institutions, employers, statutory bodies, regulatory bodies, the international scientific and academic communities, professional bodies and equivalent associations, trades unions, and social and community representatives. 10
- b) The interpretation of awards standards has been adequately informed and researched; considering the programme aims and objectives and minimum intended programme (and, where applicable, modular) learning outcomes.
 - (i) There is a satisfactory rationale for providing the programme.
 - (ii) The proposed programme compares favourably with existing related (comparable) programmes in Ireland and beyond. Comparators should be as close as it is possible to
 - (iii) There is support for the introduction of the programme (such as from employers, or professional, regulatory or statutory bodies).
 - (iv) There is evidence 11 of learner demand for the programme.
 - (v) There is evidence of employment opportunities for graduates where relevant 12.
 - (vi) The programme meets genuine education and training needs. 13
- c) There are mechanisms to keep the programme updated in consultation with internal and external stakeholders.
- d) Employers and practitioners in the cases of vocational and professional awards have been systematically involved in the programme design where the programme is vocationally or professionally oriented.
- e) The programme satisfies any validation-related criteria attaching to the applicable awards standards and QQI awards specifications.

Satisfactory (yes, no, partially)	Comment
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel stated that oversight is vital to assure that programme is delivered as identified, and the panel was informed of the externality of the oversight of the current programme(through internal moderator and external examiner process), and the comprehensive consultation process undertaken for this review. The panel commended the process of the review undertaken within the College, as outlined both in the documents and to the panel, the resulting documentation generated and presented, and the DBS team input and openness to engagement with the panel.

The comments and suggestions from internal and external stakeholders were noted and duly factored into the review process. Feedback had been sought from students, graduates, staff (academic, support and administrative), external examiners and professional bodies. The panel noted that more detail on these exercises, and their interpretation, would be welcome in the

 $^{^{10}\!}$ Awards standards however detailed rely on various communities for their interpretation. This consultation is necessary if the programme is to enable learners to achieve the standard in its fullest sense.

¹¹ This might be predictive or indirect.

¹² It is essential to involve employers in the programme development and review process when the programme is vocationally or professionally oriented.

¹³There is clear evidence that the programme meets the target learners' education and training needs and that there is a clear demand for the programme.

documentation provided, as they are currently quite briefly presented, but they were well described at the panel event. The panel concluded that the consultation process had been comprehensive.

It was noted that the part-time academic staff did not seem to attend external examiner meetings and seemed poorly involved in programme review on an on-going basis. The panel recommends that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme. This would also serve to enhance overall programme cohesiveness - i.e. considering the placement and integration of modules within the programme, and the development of knowledge, skills and competencies.

Students and graduates with whom the panel met indicated that the programme was useful in enabling them to achieve their academic and professional objectives.

The panel commends the College's maintenance of an award to facilitate access of learners, who may not have achieved sufficient requirements for entry to this programme and might not otherwise get a chance to engage with an honours Law degree programme - through progression from the BA in Legal Studies programme (by RPL into the year 3). However, the panel recommends that more communication would help learners progressing from the BA in Legal Studies to the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) to understand the concept of ordinary and honours degrees, and the ladder system which they support.

In addition, the panel recommends that some interpersonal developmental work may be required to facilitate the progression of graduates of the BA in Legal Studies to Year 3 of this programme, to ease the progression of a significant group of learners into an already established class group, and to support class integration.

Criterion 4

The programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory

- a) The information about the programme as well as its procedures for access, transfer and progression are consistent with the procedures described in QQI's policy and criteria for access, transfer and progression in relation to learners for providers of further and higher education and training. Each of its programme-specific criteria is individually and explicitly satisfied ¹⁴.
- b) Programme information for learners is provided in plain language. This details what the programme expects of learners and what learners can expect of the programme and that there are procedures to ensure its availability in a range of accessible formats.
- c) If the programme leads to a higher education and training award and its duration is designed for native English speakers, then the level of proficiency in English language must be greater or equal to B2+ in the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFRL¹⁵) in order to enable learners to reach the required standard for the QQI award.
- d) The programme specifies the learning (knowledge, skill and competence) that **target learners** are expected to have achieved before they are enrolled in the programme and any other assumptions

- Information provision

¹⁴ Each of the detailed criteria set out in the Policy and criteria for access, transfer and progression in relation to learners for providers of further and higher education and training must be addressed in the provider's evaluation report. The detailed criteria are (QQI, restated 2015) arranged under the headings

⁻ Progression and transfer routes

Entry arrangements

¹⁵http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/linguistic/Source/Framework_EN.pdf (accessed 26/09/2015)

- about enrolled learners (programme participants).
- e) The programme includes suitable procedures and criteria for the recognition of prior learningfor the purposes of access and, where appropriate, for advanced entry to the programme and for exemptions.
- f) The programme title (the title used to refer to the programme):-
 - (i) Reflects the core *intended programme learning outcomes*, and is consistent with the standards and purposes of the QQI awards to which it leads, the award title(s) and their class(es).
 - (ii) Is learner focused and meaningful to the learners;
 - (iii) Has long-lasting significance.
- g) The programme title is otherwise legitimate; for example, it must comply with applicable statutory, regulatory and professional body requirements.

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel was satisfied that the programmes' access, transfer and progression arrangements are clearly articulated and working in practice. Information on access, transfer and progression is provided for students through DBS website, promotional material and the Student Handbooks. This includes information on EU and non-EU entry requirements and information for students with disabilities.

The admissions process was discussed with the programme team, and the need to support learners transferring from other programmes to the third year of the programme. In addition, supports for the learners are provided in relation to the class size, with particular focus on learner retention and engagement. The panel commended the fact that the BA in Legal Studies programme facilitates an alternative RPL entry point for learners into the year 3 of this Bachelor of Laws (Hons) programme. However, the panel recommended that some interpersonal developmental work might be required to facilitate these BA in Legal Studies graduates' progression to this programme, to support integration of learners into an already established class group.

The panel recommended that more communication would help learners progressing from the BA in Legal Studies to year 3 of the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) to understand the concept of ordinary and honours degrees, and the ladder system which they support.

Academic Staff indicated that they are cognisant of the pedagogical aspect of dealing with the small class and the in-class experience resulting from this, with particular focus on learner retention and engagement. Teaching is adjusted to facilitate the smaller class size and peer supported learning is a specific feature. The panel praised the team's positivity and focus on student experience at DBS. The student supports available within DBS, and the commitment of module leaders to academic process and student development were particularly remarked upon.

The panel recommended that some interpersonal developmental work may be required to facilitate the progression of graduates of the BA in Legal studies to Year 3 of this programme - to ease the progression of a significant group of learners into an already established class group, and to support class integration.

Following feedback from students, the panel stated that it is important that where learners are required to complete continuous assessment assignments, as far as possible, there is coordination between various lecturers in the setting of deadlines, to ensure that learners are not unduly burdened with competing deadlines. The panel recommends that the programme team consider creating an assessment schedule for the full programme, visible to all. This would serve to support learner examination performance and progression. Therefore, the panel recommends that the programme team create a programme assessment schedule, visible to all, and that this would also be provided for learners in hard-copy.

The level of feedback provided on assignments appeared to be very helpful, and mostly in a timely fashion, and learners appeared satisfied that they could meet with lecturers for further feedback if they so desired. The panel recommended that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe. This is especially important where there is an assignment component and a written exam — learners should be made aware of their results in an assignment prior to sitting their exam.

The panel were advised that when recruiting staff, the Faculty manager identifies new staff to the academic appointments sub-committee. The establishment and role of this committee was particularly commended in terms of assuring that sufficient qualified and capable programme staff are available to implement the programme as planned. The committee also identifies the requirements for each newly appointed member of staff to be supported through their orientation at the College.

A CPD programme/strategy is being developed for academic staff within the College to support their teaching and learning endeavours, which will be anchored by a planned teaching and learning qualification (with small number of credits).

Criterion 5

The programme's written curriculum is well structured and fit-for-purpose

- a) The programme is suitably structured and coherently oriented towards the achievement by learners of its intended programme learning outcomes. The programme (including any stages and modules) is integrated in all its dimensions.
- b) In so far as it is feasible the programme provides choice to enrolled learners so that they may align their learning opportunities towards their individual educational and training needs.
- c) Each module and stage is suitably structured and coherently oriented towards the achievement by learners of the intended *programme* learning outcomes.
- d) The objectives and purposes of each of the programme's elements are clear to learners and to the provider's staff.
- e) The programme is structured and scheduled realistically based on sound educational and training principles¹⁶.
- f) The curriculum is comprehensively and systematically documented.
- g) The credit allocated to the programme is consistent with the difference between the entry standard and minimum intended programme learning outcomes.
- h) The credit allocated to each module is consistent with the difference between the module entry

¹⁶ This applies recursively to each and every element of the programme from enrolment through to completion.

In the case of a modular programme, the pool of modules and learning pathway constraints (such as any prerequisite and co-requisite modules) is explicit and appropriate to the intended programme learning outcomes.

i) Elem and j) The fullti	and attentiveness as other elements.	
Satisfactory (yes, no, partially)	Comment	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)	

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel was generally satisfied that the programmes and their modules were appropriately structured and scheduled. The rational for the inclusion of new modules, and the stakeholder engagement which informed their content and that of the revised modules, was discussed with the programme team.

The panel commended the DBS team input and openness to engagement with the panel. It was also noted that the part-time academic staff did not seem to attend the external examiner meetings/boards and seemed poorly involved in programme review on an on-going basis. The panel recommended that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme. This would also serve to enhance overall programme cohesiveness - i.e. considering the placement and integration of modules within the programme, and the development of knowledge, skills and competencies.

The programme team identified how the programme is different to the BA in Legal Studies – there is a broader range of topics on the BA (Level 7), with more depth provided, and analysis and judgements required in this Level 8 programme.

In reviewing the structure, the panel explored the concept of independent learning versus directed-learning (the college supports scaffolded learning through Moodle, online, in-class). eLearning resources (and recorded lectures) may be used to facilitate students' engagement with programme material. DBS have recently recruited a Learning Technologist and are intending to recruit an Instructional Designer to support lecturers' teaching and learning strategies.

The panel recommends that the programme team define the e-learning element of each module within its module descriptor for clarity. This need not be identical for each module. The panel also recommended that the programme team would create an assessment schedule and consider clarifying re-assessment strategy into clearly articulated forms, for each (all) module(s) within the programmes.

The panel also recommends that learners receive a hardcopy of the assessment deadlines' schedule for the programme modules at the commencement of the semester/stage, rather than rely on learners accessing the information via Moodle.

_

¹⁷ If the duration is variable, for example, when advanced entry is available, this should be explained and justified

The level of feedback provided on assignments (online/Moodle in annotated docs or in hard copy) appeared to be very helpful, and mostly in a timely fashion. General overall feedback was provided to the class, and often supplemented with an interview (face to face) will be held with the learners. The panel recommended that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe. This is especially important where there is an assignment component and a written exam – learners should be made aware of their results in an assignment prior to sitting their exam. Learners appeared satisfied that they could meet with lecturers for further feedback if they so desired.

When reviewing the individual module descriptors, the panel recommended that the programme team clarify Essential Texts versus Recommended Texts, to rationalise the text book list to identify a key/primary text with supplementary reading.

Criterion 6

There are sufficient qualified and capable programme staff available to implement the programme as planned

- a) The specification of the programme's staffing requirements (staff required as part of the programme and intrinsic to it) is precise, and rigorous and consistent with the programme and its defined purpose. The specifications include professional and educational qualifications, licences-to practise where applicable, experience and the staff/learner ratio requirements. See also criterion 12 c).
- b) The programme has an identified complement of staff¹⁸ (or potential staff) who are available, qualified and capable to provide the specified programme in the context of their existing commitments.
- c) The programme's complement of staff (or potential staff) (those who support learning including any employer-based personnel) are demonstrated to be competent to enable learners to achieve the intended programme learning outcomes and to assess learners' achievements as required.
- d) There are arrangements for the performance of the programme's staff to be managed to ensure continuing capability to fulfil their roles and there are staff development¹⁹ opportunities²⁰.
- e) There are arrangements for programme staff performance to be reviewed and there are mechanisms for encouraging development and for addressing underperformance.
- f) Where the programme is to be provided by staff not already in post there are arrangements to ensure that the programme will not enrol learners unless a complement of staff meeting the specifications is in post.

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no, partially)	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

_

¹⁸ Staff here means natural persons required as part of the programme and accountable (directly or indirectly) to the programme's provider, it may for example, include contracted trainers and workplace supervisors.

¹⁹ Development here is for the purpose of ensuring staff remain up-to-date on the discipline itself, on teaching methods or on other relevant skills or knowledge, to the extent that this is necessary to ensure an adequate standard of teaching.

standard of teaching.

Professional or vocational education and training requires that teaching staff's professional/vocation knowledge is up to date. Being qualified in a discipline does not necessarily mean that a person is currently competent in that discipline. Therefore, performance management and development of professional and vocational staff needs to focus on professional/vocational competence as well as pedagogical competence. Professional development may include placement in industry, for example. In regulated professions it would be expected that there are a suitable number of registered practitioners involved.

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel was informed that many of the teaching staff are qualified to NFQ Level 9, with many experienced practitioners involved in the delivery of the programme. It was noted that few of the teaching staff have a PhD and, no doubt partly because of the heavy teaching load, there does not seem to be much emphasis placed on research for faculty. The staff scholarship scheme was outlined and one member of the programme staff had utilised this resource to support his research (although it was noted that the Programme Document, Section 7.8, indicated that 3 team members had availed of this support).

The panel was advised that the WTE/Learner ratio for this programme is 1:25 (for workshop/practical) and 1:50 for classroom sessions, and this was deemed to be appropriate. The panel recommends that the College utilise alternative mechanisms for sourcing part-time staff (rather than through referrals and recommendations, which may not be a sustainable method of assuring externality and a challenging and supportive academic environment).

The panel was informed that the monitoring of the programme is implemented by the Course leader, and the internal moderator also facilitates this monitoring process.

The programme management structure had been *ad hoc*, and without records, and it was noted that this is in the process of being systematised, and the panel noted that the College is seeking to redress this matter with recent appointments and some improvement is already evident. **The panel recommended that the Programme Management structure and processes be strengthened, through greater systematisation and recording.**

The panel commends the DBS team input and openness to engagement with the panel. It noted that the part-time academic staff did not seem to attend the external examiner meetings/boards and seemed poorly involved in programme review on an on-going basis. The panel recommended that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme. This would also serve to enhance overall programme cohesiveness - i.e. considering the placement and integration of modules within the programme, and the development of knowledge, skills and competencies.

The panel recommended that scheduling of assessment should be considered by the programme team to ensure learners aren't overburdened and workload is appropriate. To support this, the panel recommended that the programme team would create an assessment schedule, and consider clarifying re-assessment strategy into clearly articulated forms, for each (all) module(s) within the programme.

The panel also recommended that learners receive a hardcopy of the assessment deadlines' schedule for the programme modules at the commencement of the semester/stage, rather than rely on learners accessing the information via Moodle.

The recent appointment of Learning Technologist and plan for recruitment of Instructional Designer to support the college's ambitions in relation to blended, e-learning, and assessment, and support staff in its implementation, was commended by the Panel.

The student supports available within DBS, and the commitment of module leaders to academic process and student development, were particularly remarked upon. According to the programme team, working with small classes has its own challenges, where the classroom becomes more discursive. Greater resources are provided to support this experience.

Part-time lecturing staff provided insight into their experience of the programme, and indicated that they felt greatly supported, and praised the collegiate interaction and support received. They stated that it was a good place to come and work.

The establishment and role of the academic appointments sub-committee was particularly commended in terms of assuring that sufficient qualified and capable programme staff are available to implement the programme as planned. This committee also identifies the requirements for each newly appointed member of staff to be supported through their orientation and professional development at the College. However, the panel cautioned that sourcing part-time staff primarily through referrals and recommendations may not be a sustainable method of assuring externality and a challenging and supportive academic environment, and recommended that alternative mechanisms be employed.

Criterion 7

There are sufficient physical resources to implement the programme as planned

- a) The specification of the programme's physical resource requirements (physical resources required as part of the programme and intrinsic to it) is precise, and rigorous and consistent with the programme, its defined purpose and its resource/learner-ratio requirements. See also criterion 12 d)
- b) The programme has an identified complement of supported physical resources (or potential supported physical resources) that are available in the context of existing commitments on these e.g. availability of:
 - (i) suitable premises and accommodation for the learning and human needs (comfort, safety, health, wellbeing) of learners (this applies to all of the programme's learning environments including the workplace learning environment)
 - (ii) suitable information technology and resources (including educational technology and any virtual learning environments provided)
 - (iii) printed and electronic material (including software) for teaching, learning and assessment
 - (iv) suitable specialist equipment (e.g. kitchen, laboratory, workshop, studio) if applicable
 - (v) technical support
 - (vi) administrative support
 - (vii) company placements/internships if applicable
- c) If versions of the programme are provided in parallel at more than one location each independently meets the location-sensitive validation criteria for each location (for example staffing, resources and the learning environment).
- d) There is a five-year plan for the programme. It should address
 - (i) Planned intake (first five years) and
 - (ii) The total costs and income over the five years based on the planned intake.
- e) The programme includes controls to ensure entitlement to use the property (including intellectual property, premises, materials and equipment) required.

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel noted that a five-year plan had been provided for the programme.

A tour of the library facilities in the Aungier Street Campus was undertaken, and the open meeting and study areas throughout the campus to facilitate group work and peer study support was acknowledged. It was noted that the library facilities deploy a wide range of text, which the students and graduates indicated that they like to use. Library resources are deemed sufficient to meet learners' needs; in addition, learners said that there is an arrangement with Trinity College for interlibrary loans.

The panel were advised of the mobile IT laboratory facility, whereby charged laptops are available within classrooms to provide a flexible, responsive computer laboratory option – owing to the class sizes on this programme, these particular students had not needed to avail of this.

To support their course work, each learner is provided with their own cloud space.

The student quality evaluation/feedback at programme and module level showed low engagement levels and a poor response rate (20%). The panel acknowledged that the College is seeking to redress this matter with recent appointments, and some improvement is already evident. To continue to enhance the student quality evaluation/feedback practice, the panel recommends that the system for eliciting and recording learners' quality evaluations of the programme and its modules be reviewed so as to and reflect best practice and to improve the amount and representative nature of the information received.

Criterion 8

The learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners

- a) The programme's physical, social, cultural and intellectual environment (recognising that the environment may, for example, be partly virtual or involve the workplace) including resources and support systems are consistent with the intended programme learning outcomes.
- Learners can interact with, and are supported by, others in the programme's learning environments including peer learners, teachers, and where applicable supervisors, practitioners and mentors.
- c) The programme includes arrangements to ensure that the parts of the programme that occur in the workplace are subject to the same rigours as any other part of the programme while having regard to the different nature of the workplace.

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

A five-year plan was provided for the programme under review. With the difference between projected numbers and those on the current programme, the feasibility of this plan was not particularly clear. The panel recommends that, at an early stage, the College/programme team undertake scenario planning so as to ascertain the capacity of the learning environment to meet learners' needs in the event of a substantially increased learner enrolment.

The panel also noted the recent update of the DBS strategic plan, and were advised that the development of eLearning/blended learning programmes is a strategic objective of the College.

A description of the learning environment in place to support students was provided, and a tour of the physical facilities in the Aungier Street Campus, particularly the library, was undertaken. To support their course work, each learner is provided with their own cloud space.

Academic Staff are cognisant of the pedagogical aspect of dealing with the small class and the inclass experience resulting from this. Teaching is adjusted to facilitate the smaller class size and peer supported learning is a specific feature. In addition, the adjustment of the in-class experience between the full-time and part-time delivery mode, to allow for the diversity and maturity of learners is to be commended. This will need to be further managed with the College's plan for a second intake on this programme (in particular to the implications for failed CA, exam sittings and Boards, etc.).

In meetings with students and graduates, the panel found that they were very positive about the level of support received from lecturers and other staff. They appreciated the small class sizes, and the easy access to teaching staff who were generally very responsive to requests for support. However, it was also noted that in some instances, issues raised at meetings between the learners and the College may not be resolved in a timely manner.

The student quality evaluation/feedback at programme and module level showed low engagement levels and a poor response rate (20%). However, the panel did acknowledge that the College is seeking to redress this matter with recent appointments, and some improvement is already evident. To continue to enhance the student quality evaluation/feedback practice, the panel recommended that the system for eliciting and recording learners' quality evaluations of the programme and its modules be reviewed so as to and reflect best practice and to improve the amount and representative nature of the information received.

The level of feedback provided on assignments appeared to be very helpful, and mostly in a timely fashion, and learners appeared satisfied that they could meet with lecturers for further feedback if they so desired. As far as possible, it would be beneficial if learners received feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe. This is especially important where there is an assignment component and a written exam – learners should be made aware of their results in an assignment prior to sitting their exam. The panel recommends that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe.

The panel also recommended that learners receive a hardcopy of the assessment deadlines' schedule for the programme modules at the commencement of the semester/stage, rather than rely on learners accessing the information via Moodle.

In addition, the panel recommended that the programme team consider clarifying the reassessment strategy for the modules in the programme document into clearly articulated and standard format to ensure consistency.

The students' Law Society, which is open to Level 7 and Level 8 learners as well as those undertaking law modules on other programmes, facilitates learners to network with their peers within the College, and with guest lecturers and employers who present at speeches and seminars during the academic year. The panel recommends that some interpersonal developmental work may be required to facilitate the RPL entrants to Year 3 of this programme, to ease the progression of a significant group of learners into an already established class group, and to support class integration.

Criterion 9

There are sound teaching and learning strategies

- a) The teaching strategies support achievement of the intended programme/module learning outcomes.
- b) The programme provides authentic learning opportunities to enable learners to achieve the intended programme learning outcomes.
- c) The programme enables enrolled learners to attain (if reasonably diligent) the minimum intended programme learning outcomes reliably and efficiently (in terms of overall learner effort and a reasonably balanced workload).
- **d)** Learning is monitored/supervised.
- e) Individualised guidance, support²¹ and timely formative feedback is regularly provided to enrolled learners as they progress within the programme.

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The College has developed Learning and Teaching and Assessment Strategies, which were provided in the documentation pack for the panel, and appropriate extracts and references were included in the programme documentation. The purpose of this strategy is to support the enhancement of learning and teaching at DBS by establishing a framework, aligned with the overall College Strategy.

The recent appointment of a Learning Technologist and plan for recruitment of Instructional Designer will support the college's ambitions in relation to blended and e-learning, as outlined in the Learning Teaching and Assessment Strategy and to support staff in its implementation. However, in relation to this programme, the panel recommended that programme team define the e-learning

-

²¹ Support and feedback concerns anything material to learning in the context of the programme. For the avoidance of doubt it includes among other things any course-related language, literacy and numeracy support.

element of each module within the module descriptor for clarity. This need not be identical for each module.

In meetings with students and graduates, the panel found that they were very positive about the level of support received from lecturers and other staff. They appreciated the small class sizes and the easy access to teaching staff, who were generally very responsive to requests for support, clarification or feedback, which was mostly delivered in a timely manner.

Guest lecturers are also used throughout the year, and programme stages, to provide learners with a relevant and current experience, and the learners also get a change to attend court and to observe the legal system in action.

The strategy for the Student Engagement and Success Unit (SESU) is also aligned with this teaching and learning strategy. The establishment of the SESU, as a multidisciplinary intervention to support non-engaging students, was considered a very positive move by DBS to support learner engagement, retention and progression.

Feedback from students and graduates also confirmed that the workload was appropriate but that more structure and communication around this workload was required. The panel were of the opinion that this could be further supported by the creation of an assessment schedule, which would be visible/accessible to all.

The panel further noted the feedback from students confirmed the willingness of teaching staff to address any issues brought to them.

The level of feedback provided on assignments appeared to be very helpful, and mostly in a timely fashion, and learners appeared satisfied that they could meet with lecturers for further feedback if they so desired. The panel recommends that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe.

The panel recommended that the programme team clarify listings of Essential Texts versus Recommended Texts within the module descriptors.

Criterion 10

CITICITOTI 10

There are sound assessment strategies

- a) All assessment is undertaken consistently with *Assessment Guidelines, Conventions and Protocols* for *Programmes Leading to QQI Awards*²²
- b) The programme's assessment procedures interface effectively with the provider's QQI approved quality assurance procedures.
- c) The programme includes specific procedures that are fair and consistent for the assessment of enrolled learners to ensure the minimum intended programme/module learning outcomes are acquired by all who successfully complete the programme.²³
- d) The programme includes formative assessment to support learning.
- e) There is a satisfactory written **programme assessment strategy** for the programme as a whole and there are satisfactory module assessment strategies for any of its constituent modules.²⁴

²² See the section on transitional arrangements.

_

 $^{^{23}}$ This assumes the minimum intended programme/module learning outcomes are consistent with the applicable awards standards.

- f) Sample assessment instruments, tasks, marking schemes and related evidence have been provided for each award-stage assessment and indicate that the assessment is likely to be valid and reliable.
- g) There are sound procedures for the moderation of summative assessment results.
- h) The provider only puts forward an enrolled learner for certification for a particular award for which a programme has been validated if they have been specifically assessed against the standard for that award.²⁵

Satisfactory (yes, no,	Comment
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel was advised that all assessment for the programmes conforms to the DBS Assessment Regulations which are informed by QQI Assessment and Standards Revised 2013.

The panel recommends that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme. This would also serve to enhance overall programme cohesiveness - the programme team stated that there is little overlap between assessment components – integrated assessment is not a feature of the programme.

Learners also identified the challenges of group work within the programme based on interpersonal matters, and availability of learners to participate, particularly for the part-time programme. The student supports available within DBS, and the commitment of module leaders to academic process and student development were particularly remarked upon.

Following feedback from students, the panel stated that it is important that where learners are required to complete continuous assessment assignments, as far as possible, there is coordination between various lecturers in the setting of deadlines, to ensure that learners are not unduly burdened with competing deadlines. Therefore, the panel recommended that the programme team create a programme assessment schedule, visible to all.

The panel also recommended that learners receive a hardcopy of the assessment deadlines' schedule for the programme modules at the commencement of the semester/stage, rather than rely on learners accessing the information via Moodle.

The panel noted the possibility that an overloading of continuous assessment assignment deadlines may also inadvertently cause a decrease in attendance, as learners may concentrate on completion of assignments they are required to submit rather than attend classes. The recent appointment of Learning Technologist and plan for recruitment of Instructional Designer to support the college's

²⁴ The programme assessment strategy is addressed in the Assessment Guidelines, Conventions and Protocols for Programmes Leading to QQI Awards. See the section on transitional arrangements.

²⁵If the award is a QQI CAS compound award it is not necessarily sufficient that the learner has achieved all the components specified in the certification requirements unless at least one of those components is a capstone component (i.e. designed to test the compound learning outcomes).

ambitions in relation to blended and e-learning, and assessment, and to support staff in its implementation, was commended by the Panel.

The establishment of the Student Engagement and Success Unit (SESU), as a multidisciplinary intervention to support non-engaging students, was considered a very positive move by DBS to support learner engagement, retention and progression.

The level of feedback provided on assignments appeared to be very helpful, and mostly in a timely fashion, and learners appeared satisfied that they could meet with lecturers for further feedback if they so desired. The panel recommended that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe. This is especially important where there is an assignment component and a written exam – learners should be made aware of their results in an assignment prior to sitting their exam.

The panel recommended that the programme team consider clarifying the re-assessment strategy for the modules in the programme document into a clearly articulated and standard format to ensure consistency.

The establishment and role of the academic appointments sub-committee was particularly commended in terms of assuring that sufficient qualified and capable programme staff are available to implement the programme as planned (including assessment). The committee also identifies the requirements for each staff to be supported through their orientation and professional development at the College.

Criterion 11

Learners enrolled on the programme are well informed, guided and cared for

- a) There are arrangements to ensure that each enrolled learner is fully informed in a timely manner about the programme including the schedule of activities and assessments.
- b) Information is provided about learner supports that are available to learners enrolled on the programme.
- c) Specific information is provided to learners enrolled on the programme about any programme-specific appeals and complaints procedures.
- d) If the programme is modular, it includes arrangements for the provision of effective guidance services for learners on the selection of appropriate learning pathways.
- e) The programme takes into account and accommodates to the differences between enrolled learners, for example, in terms of their prior learning, maturity, and capabilities.
- f) There are arrangements to ensure that learners enrolled on the programme are supervised and individualised support and due care is targeted at those who need it.
- g) The programme provides supports for enrolled learners who have special education and training needs.
- h) The programme makes reasonable accommodations for learners with disabilities²⁶.
- i) If the programme aims to enrol international students it complies with the *Code of Practice for Provision of Programmes to International Students*²⁷ and there are appropriate in-service supports in areas such as English language, learning skills, information technology skills and such like, to

²⁶For more information on making reasonable accommodations see www.AHEAD.ie and QQI's Policies, Actions and Procedures for Access, Transfer and Progression for Learners (QQI, restated 2015).

²⁷See Code of Practice for Provision of Programmes to International Students (QQI, 2015)

- address the particular needs of international learners and enable such learners to successfully participate in the programme.
- j) The programme's learners will be well cared for and safe while participating in the programme, (e.g. while at the provider's premises or those of any collaborators involved in provision, the programme's locations of provision including any workplace locations or practice-placement locations).

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel noted that the Student Handbooks and website contain information on the supports and services available to students.

The learners and graduates that met with the panel spoke extremely positively and impressively about the programme. It appeared they were well informed of what assignments were required of them and learners praised their lecturers highly. It appeared that the lecturers were very dedicated to lecturing and to the learning of their students.

However, it also noted that where learners are required to complete continuous assessment assignments, the panel recommended that the programme team create a hard-copy programme assessment schedule, visible and provided to all, to ensure that learners are not unduly burdened with competing deadlines. In addition, the programme team should clarify the re-assessment strategy for the modules in the programme into clearly articulated and standard format.

The panel recommends that the programme team should define the e-learning element of each module within the module descriptor for clarity. This need not be identical for each module.

The composition and role of the Student Engagement and Success Unit (SESU) was outlined to the panel. The panel considered this a very positive move by DBS to support learner engagement, retention and progression.

The panel recommended that more communication would help learners progressing from the BA in Legal Studies to the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) to understand the concept of ordinary and honours degrees, and the ladder system which they support.

Collaborative and transnational provision is not applicable here.

Criterion 12

The programme is well managed

- a) The programme includes intrinsic governance, quality assurance, learner assessment, and access, transfer and progression procedures that functionally interface with the provider's general or institutional procedures.
- b) The programme interfaces effectively with the provider's QQI approved quality assurance procedures. Any proposed incremental changes to the provider's QA procedures required by the

- programme or programme-specific QA procedures have been developed having regard to QQI's statutory QA guidelines. If the QA procedures allow the provider to approve the centres within the provider that may provide the programme, the procedures and criteria for this should be fit-for-the-purpose of identifying which centres are suited to provide the programme and which are not.
- c) There are explicit and suitable programme-specific criteria for selecting persons who meet the programme's staffing requirements and can be added to the programme's complement of staff.
- d) There are explicit and suitable programme-specific criteria for selecting physical resources that meet the programmes physical resource requirements, and can be added to the programme's complement of supported physical resources.
- e) Quality assurance is intrinsic to the programme's maintenance arrangements and addresses all aspects highlighted by the validation criteria.
- f) The programme-specific quality assurance arrangements are consistent with QQI's statutory QA guidelines and use continually monitored completion rates and other sources of information that may provide insight into the quality and standards achieved.
- g) The programme operation and management arrangements are coherently documented and suitable.
- h) There are sound procedures for interface with QQI certification.

Satisfactory	Comment
(yes, no,	
partially)	
Yes	Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

The panel has evaluated the programme having regard to the criterion and sub-criteria and recommends that QQI can be satisfied that the programme meets this criterion.

The panel were satisfied that there are effective structures in place for the governance and management of the programmes under review. The Quality Assurance Handbook (QAH) contains the governance structures for the College and procedures for access, transfer and progression, learner assessments and supports, and teaching and learning.

It was noted that the QAH and associated policies and procedures have been developed in line with QQI statutory guidelines, and that DBS have submitted an application to QQI for reengagement. The process for interim programme change was outlined to the panel by the programme team.

The programme management structure had previously been *ad hoc*, and without records, and it was stated that this was in the process of being systematised. The panel acknowledged that the College is also seeking to redress this matter with recent appointments, and some improvement is already evident. The panel recommended that the Programme Management structure and processes be strengthened, through greater systematisation and recording.

The student supports available within DBS, and the commitment of module leaders to academic process and student development were particularly remarked upon. However, it was also noted that the part-time academic staff did not seem to attend the external examiner meetings/boards and seemed poorly involved in programme review on an on-going basis. The panel recommended that the part-time practice based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme. This would also serve to enhance

²⁸See also QQI's Policy on Monitoring (QQI, 2014)

overall programme cohesiveness - i.e. considering the placement and integration of modules within the programme, and the development of knowledge, skills and competencies.

The recent appointment of Learning Technologist and plan for recruitment of Instructional Designer to support the college's ambitions in relation to blended and e-learning, and assessment, and to support staff in its implementation, was commended by the Panel.

The student quality evaluation/feedback at programme and module level showed low engagement levels and a poor response rate (20%). The panel acknowledged that the College was seeking to redress this matter with recent appointments, and that some improvement was already evident. To continue to enhance the student quality evaluation/feedback practice, the panel recommended that the system for eliciting and recording learners' quality evaluations of the programme and its modules be reviewed so as to and reflect best practice and to improve the amount and representative nature of the information received.

In relation to areas for improvement, following feedback from students, the panel stated that it is important that where learners are required to complete continuous assessment assignments, as far as possible, there is coordination between various lecturers in the setting of deadlines, to ensure that learners are not unduly burdened with competing deadlines. **Therefore, the panel** recommended that the programme team create a programme assessment schedule, visible to all.

The panel noted the possibility that an overloading of continuous assessment assignment deadlines may also inadvertently cause a decrease in attendance, as learners may concentrate on completion of assignments they are required to submit rather than attend classes. The establishment of the Student Engagement and Success Unit (SESU), as a multidisciplinary intervention to support nonengaging students, was considered a very positive move by DBS to support learner engagement, retention and progression.

The panel also recommended that learners receive a hardcopy of the assessment deadlines' schedule for the programme modules at the commencement of the semester/stage, rather than rely on learners accessing the information via Moodle.

The level of feedback provided on assignments appeared to be very helpful, and mostly in a timely fashion, and learners appeared satisfied that they could meet with lecturers for further feedback if they so desired. As far as possible, **the panel recommended that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe.** This is especially important where there is an assignment component and a written exam – learners should be made aware of their results in an assignment prior to sitting their exam.

In addition, the panel recommended that the programme team consider clarifying the reassessment strategy for the modules in the programme document into clearly articulated and standard format to ensure consistency.

The establishment and role of the academic appointments sub-committee was particularly commended in terms of assuring that sufficient qualified and capable programme staff are available to implement the programme as planned (including assessment). The committee also identifies the requirements for each staff to be supported through their orientation and professional development at the College.

Part 2B Overall recommendation to QQI

Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

Select one	
	Satisfactory (meaning that it recommends that QQI can be satisfied in the
X	context of unit 2.3) of Core policies and criteria for the validation by QQI of
	programmes of education and training;
	Satisfactory subject to proposed special conditions (specified with timescale
	for compliance for each condition; these may include proposed pre-validation
	conditions i.e. proposed (minor) things to be done to a programme that
	almost fully meets the validation criteria before QQI makes a
	determination); ²⁹
	Not satisfactory.

Reasons³⁰ for the overall recommendation

The panel carried out a comprehensive review of the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) between May and August 2019. The programme was due for review under the QQI requirement for periodic monitoring and review, and also require review to conform with recent policies, including QQI Core Policies and Criteria for the Validation of Programmes of Education and Training (QQI, 2016), Core Statutory Quality Assurance (QA) Guidelines (QQI, 2016) and in accordance with the QQI Programme Review Manual 2016/2017.

The review comprised four stages:

sub-criteria are not demonstrated to be satisfied.

- A desk review by the panel of the self-evaluation report on the internal programme review prepared by the Programme Leaders and Programme Team, and a review of the initial/revised proposed Bachelor of Laws (Hons) programme documentation to be submitted for revalidation.
- A site visit on 13 May 2019 involving a series of meeting with academic staff and administrative staff engaged in programme delivery and support, a meeting with recent graduates and current learners on the programme, and a tour of the DBS campus (and College Library) to review facilities.
- The preparation of a panel report, outlining the process and evidence pursued and a series of recommendations.
- A follow-up desk review of revised documentation provided by DBS addressing the panel's recommendations

²⁹Normally an application that fails to meet the criteria in any of its aspects will be considered as not satisfactory. Nevertheless, so as to ensure that the validation process will not be implemented unreasonably, if an independent evaluation finds that a programme virtually meets the validation criteria but needs some minor modifications, the independent evaluation could conclude "Satisfactory subject to recommended special conditions" where the special conditions prescribe the defects that require to be corrected.

³⁰Give precise reasons for the conclusions organised under each of the 12 criteria (for the programme and each embedded programme and any modules proposed to lead to QQI awards) citing supporting evidence. If any criteria or sub-criteria are not met by the application this must be stated explicitly giving precise reasons with evidence. A "Not Satisfactory" recommendation may be justified if any one of the applicable criteria or

The revised documentation consisted of:

- DBS Programme Review Document for the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) referred to as Programme Document hereafter
- DBS Appendix 5 Module Descriptors for the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) referred to as Module Descriptors hereafter
- Programme Team's response to the Independent Programme Review Report referred to as Team Response hereafter
- Proposed Assessment Schedule for the programme
- A zipped folder of supporting documentation which include details of the DBS Bachelor of Laws (Hons) Buddy System Programme; Terms of Reference for the Programme Board and Programme Team meetings; Terms of Reference for the Research Committee; Module Guide 2019-2020; and sample Module Feedback Form.

Based on the site visit and the revised documentation received, the panel concluded that the Bachelor of Laws (Hons), as presented to QQI for revalidation, satisfies the core policies and criteria for revalidation by QQI of programmes of education and training, specifically as follows:

Criterion1: DBS meets the prerequisites (section 44(7) of the 2012 Act) to apply for validation of these programmes. The panel was informed DBS is currently taking part in the re-engagement process with QQI. QA policies and procedures are therefore under review. Access, transfer and progression procedures are detailed in Section 4 of Programme Document and Chapter 6 of the current DBS Quality Assurance Handbook.

The panel noted that DBS has arrangements in place for Protection of Enrolled Learners (PEL), documentation for which is provided to QQI with every submission for revalidation of a programme.

Criterion2: the programme objectives and programme outcomes are clear and consistent with the QQI award sought. They are set out in Sections 2.1 and 2.4 of the Programme Document. The Interpretation of the awards standards and research supporting the programme's aims, objectives and the MIPLOs is provided in section 3.6. MIPLOS are mapped against the QQI Generic Awards Standards as set out in Section 13 of the Programme Document, and are compared with those of comparable programmes in section 2.7. The panel recommended that MIPLO 9 be reflected, and mapped accordingly, throughout the documentation for this programme. This was completed in the programme documentation prior to the programme team's response to the panel.

Criterion3: the panel found that the programme concept, implementation strategy and interpretation of QQI awards are well informed, taking into consideration social, cultural, educational, professional and employment objectives. Extensive consultation with legal stakeholders, as well as students and graduates, was evidenced in Section 3.4 and 3.7 of the Programme Document and had informed the evolution of the programme.

Criterion4: the programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory. Entry criteria and progression options, including from the BA in Legal Studies, are clearly documented, as per section 3.2 and chapter 4 of the Programme Document, in relation to the specification of learning that target learners are expected to possess before enrolment, and for progression purposes. The extension of the last intake to include the full academic year has been implemented in programme documentation under guidance from QQI.

The panel recommended that more communication would help learners progressing from the BA in Legal Studies to this Bachelor of Laws (Hons) to understand the concept of ordinary and honours

degrees, and the ladder system which they support. This has been further developed in the learners' induction programme, delivered annually. The panel also recommended that RPL applicants to Year 3 of the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) programme be supported to enhance their class integration — an enhanced Buddy system within the LLB (Hons) is going to be used to support this integration.

Criterion5: the programme's written curriculum and modules are well structured and fit-for-purpose. The panel recommended that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme, to enhance overall programme cohesiveness.

In its response the programme team took the recommendation on board and provided evidence of greater clarity and cohesion in the structure and the terms of reference for the course boards and programme team meetings. The panel is satisfied with these responses have addressed the recommendations.

Criterion 6: there are sufficient qualified and capable programme staff available to implement the programme as planned. The panel was advised that the minimum qualification for teaching staff is a NFQ Level 9 (Master) and/or PhD in law, or an Honours Bachelors Level 8 degree with a professional legal qualification. This is evidenced in the suite of staff CVs [Appendix 2 Programme Staff CVs] which set out the qualifications of staff, and those undertaking further studies including at Level 10. Other staffing matters are set out in section 1.2 and chapter 7 of the Programme Document.

Criterion7: there are sufficient physical resources to implement the programme as planned, as set out in chapter 8 of the Programme Document. The wide range of resources utilised to support learners, and support their progression and retention, was noted.

The panel noted that a five-year plan had been provided for the programme under review as evidenced in Section 3.13 of the Programme Document, however, the variation in projected learner numbers makes it very difficult to interpret the proposed programme's viability. The extension of the last intake to include the full academic year has been implemented in programme documentation under guidance from QQI.

Criterion8: the learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners. The panel was advised that DBS uses a number of mechanisms to develop and implement supports for students as set out in sections 5.8 and 5.9 of the Programme Document.

There is no work placement on the Bachelor of Laws (Hons).

Criterion9: there are sound teaching and learning strategies. These are outlined in chapter 5 of the Programme Document. In meetings with students and graduates at the site visit, the panel noted that they were very positive about the support they received from staff.

The panel recommended that the e-learning element of each module is defined within the module descriptor for clarity. This is being implemented in conjunction with the College's developing Teaching and Learning Strategy.

The panel found that the lists of texts required a review to reflect on essential vs recommended for the Bachelor of Laws (Hons). DBS provided evidence that reading lists have been updated in the Module Descriptor document provided.

Criterion 10: there are sound assessment strategies. The panel was advised that all assessment for the programmes conform to the DBS Assessment Regulations which are informed by QQI Assessment and Standards Revised 2013 as set out in section 5.10 of the Programme Document, and

within the individual modules.

The panel recommended that an assessment schedule be prepared for the programme and that a hardcopy be provided to learners at the commencement of the semester/stage., and are satisfied that appropriate measures have been put in place to provide this.

Arrangements have also been put in place by the college in response to the panel's recommendation that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe. The programme team has also clarified the re-assessment strategy for each of the modules within the programme.

Criterion 11: learners enrolled on the programme are well informed, guided and cared for. The panel noted that the Student Handbooks and website reviewed contained relevant information in relation to the supports and services available to students. Students and graduates with whom the panel met confirmed that support services are well publicised. Supports for learners are detailed in sections 5.9 and 8.2 of the programme document.

Criterion 12: the programme is well managed. The panel were satisfied that there are effective structures in place for the governance and management of the programmes under review. The College is enhancing its processes to ensure that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme are more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme.

The Quality Assurance Handbook (QAH) contains the governance structures for the College and procedures for access, transfer and progression, learner assessments and supports, and teaching and learning. It was noted that the QAH and associated policies and procedures have been developed in line with QQI statutory guidelines, and have been redrafted and are currently subject to completion of DBS's reengagement process with QQI.

Summary of recommended special conditions of validation

There were no conditions identified by the panel.

Summary of recommendations to the provider

- 1. The panel recommends that MIPLO 9 be reflected, and mapped accordingly, throughout the documentation for this programme. This should be completed prior to the submission of the programme documentation to QQI.
- 2. The panel recommends that the part-time practice-based lecturers on the programme be more closely involved in the overall annual oversight, evaluation and review of the programme. This would also serve to enhance overall programme cohesiveness.
- 3. The panel recommends that more communication would help learners progressing from the BA in Legal Studies to the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) to understand the concept of ordinary and honours degrees, and the ladder system which they support.
- 4. The panel recommends that some interpersonal developmental work may be required to facilitate the progression graduates of this programme to Year 3 of the Bachelor of Laws (Hons) programme, to facilitate the progression of a significant group of learners into an already established class group, and to support class integration.

- 5. The panel recommends that the College provides clarity on the number of intakes to this programme in any academic year.
- 6. The panel recommends that the programme team consider creating an assessment schedule for the full programme, visible to all.
- 7. The panel recommends that learners receive a hardcopy of the assessment deadlines' schedule for the programme modules at the commencement of the semester/stage, rather than rely on learners accessing the information via Moodle.
- 8. The panel recommends that learners receive feedback on assignments within the recommended four-week timeframe. This is especially important where there is an assignment component and a written exam learners should be made aware of their results in an assignment prior to sitting their exam.
- 9. The panel recommends that the programme team clarify the re-assessment strategy for the modules in the programme into clearly articulated and standard format.
- 10. The programme team should define the e-learning element of each module within the module descriptor for clarity. This need not be identical for each module.
- 11. Clarify listings of Essential Texts versus Recommended Texts within the module descriptors.
- 12. The panel recommends that the Programme Management structure and process be strengthened through greater systematisation and recording.
- 13. The panel recommends that the College utilise alternative mechanisms for sourcing part-time staff (rather than through referrals and recommendations, which may not be a sustainable method of assuring externality and a challenging and supportive academic environment).
- 14. The panel recommends that the system for eliciting and recording learners' quality evaluations of the programme and its modules is reviewed to ensure it reflects best practice and improves the amount and representative nature of the information received.
- 15. The panel recommends that, at an early stage, the College/programme team undertake scenario planning so as to ascertain the capacity of the learning environment to meet learners' needs in the event of a substantially increased learner enrolment.

Declarations of Evaluators' Interests

Panel secretary, Mary Doyle has previously held the position of Registrar at Dublin Business School. Since leaving this role, in 2009, she has not engaged in any professional relationship with the College and/or its staff. In addition, there have been extensive changes at senior/middle management within DBS in the interim and Ms Doyle has not had any professional relationship with the incumbents, during or prior to their taking up their roles at DBS.

This report has been agreed by the evaluation panel and is signed on their behalf by the chairperson.

Panel chairperson:

Michael & Regan

Dr Andrew O'Regan

Date: 28 August 2019

Signed:

Addendum

N/a

Disclaimer

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding the aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

While QQI has endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in the Report is correct, complete and up-to-date, any reliance placed on such information is strictly at the reader's own risk, and in no event will QQI be liable for any loss or damage (including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage) arising from, or in connection with, the use of the information contained in the Report of the External Evaluation Panel.

Part 4: Appendices