

Report of the Programme Evaluation Panel

Provider's Name:	National College of Ireland
Address:	Mayor Square
	IFSC
	Dublin 1
QA procedures agreed on:	2006
QA procedures reviewed on:	2010
Programme()s submitted for approval:	Leading to the award of:
1. MSc in Marketing	Master of Science
Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing	Postgraduate Diploma in Business
3.	
4.	
5.	
Date submitted to QQI:	
Date of Evaluation:	16 June 2016
Date of Report:	17 June 2016

Membership of the Programme Evaluation Panel:

Role	Name	Area of Expertise	QQI Peer Review Reference Listing
Chairperson	Mr Gerard O'Donovan	Chair, Head of Faculty of Business & Humanities, Cork IT	
External Specialist	Ms Donna Day Lafferty	Third Sector, Fundraising	
External Specialist	Ms Aine McManus	Management, Information Technologies	
External Specialist	Ms Carmel Brennan	Marketing	
Industry/Employer Perspective	Ms Ruth Guy	Marketing, Non Profit Sector management, fundraising	
Rapporteur	Ms Sinéad O'Sullivan		



1. Profile of provider:

NCI, through its two schools, the School of Business, School of Computing, offers over 80 full-time and part-time programmes at levels 6-10 of the National Framework of Qualifications.

NCI's programmes are accredited by the QQI, the Chartered Institute of Personal Development (CIPD) and the Institute of Commercial Management (ICM).

Programmes in Accounting and Finance enjoy recognition by such professional bodies as the Chartered Accountants Ireland (ACA)), the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA), and the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA). National College of Ireland is the largest provider of Chartered Institute of Professional Development (CIPD) accredited programmes in the Human Resource Management area.

Although a company limited by guarantee, the College is partially funded through the Department of Education and Skills for 925 undergraduate full-time students. All other funding comes from student fees and commercial income. As part of its internationalisation strategy, the College is active in India, Malaysia, China and more recently Brazil and Africa. Over 50 nationalities are represented within the study body, mainly from communities in the Greater Dublin area.

Enrolment in May 2016 stands at 4600 (3700 fte) of which 43% are part-time. 70% of learners are enrolled on undergraduate programmes which range from major awards to professionally focussed special purpose awards. The College is currently one of the largest providers of Springboard/ICT programmes in the country rising to over 800 places in 2015/16.

The Higher Education Authority (HEA) provides additional funding under initiatives such as Funds for Students with Disability and the Student Assistance Funds.

In line with its mission of widening access to education, the College places a strong emphasis on the needs of the learner and provides a range of learning options that extend beyond traditional classroom dynamics, including distance learning and internet-based learning programmes.

Programmes are delivered by a combination of full-time and part-time (associate faculty) which bring current experiences and issues from the workplace into the classroom. The College currently has a policy of normally only appointing holders of PhD to full-time faculty and supports any member of staff who is undertaking PhD study both financially and via workload rebalancing. The College currently has 52 full-time academic staff, of which 60% are holders of a PhD.



2. Planning:

Programme development since agreement of QA procedures / the last review

The College has developed a significant number of programmes since its last institutional review in 2010 culminating in 2015 with a complete programmatic review of its portfolio across the Business, Computing and Education subject areas. During the period 2014-2016, 31 programmes have been revalidated and a further 35 programmes (15 in 2015) have been validated or are in the process of being validated.

2.1. Purpose of the aw

Does the proposed programme address a clear market d	lemand?	Yes√	No

Comment: None

2.2. Avoidance of duplication

Has the Programme Development Team identified the availability of similar programmes locally, regionally, nationally?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

2.3. Stakeholder consultation

Was the level of stakeholder engagement satisfactory? Yes ✓ No

Comment: None

Support for the programme (industry/business/community)

Yes√

No

It is recommended that, in terms of ongoing and future development of the programme, a Stakeholder Advisory Board should be established to meet annually with the programme team in order to review industry requirements and expectations.

2.4. Efficient and effective use of resources

Does the proposed programme represent both efficient and effective use of the provider's resources?

Yes**√** No



2.5. Resource development over last 5 years (or in direct support of this programme)

Specific Comments:

Staff: The panel is of the view that the programme can be resourced from the College's current staff.

Accommodation: The programme will be provided at the NCI campus in Dublin and there are adequate facilities in place.

Information technology: The evaluation panels is of the view that very effort should continue to be made to use up-to-date technologies in support of student learning both inside and outside of the classroom.

Library: Administration: Comment: none

Publicity/public information: Comment: none

2.6. Planned development over the coming 5 years?

Have the QQI award standards been explicitly referred to in the programme and does the programme meet those standards at the specified level?

Yes**√** No

Comment: None

Has the Provider complied with Protection for Enrolled Learner requirements?

Yes√ No

Protection for Enrolled Learners has been arranged with Griffith College and the Irish College of Humanities and Science.

2.7. Access

Is the expected minimum and maximum number of all learners entering the programme explicitly stated?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Have any/all prerequisite knowledge, skills or competence or any other specific entry requirement been articulated?

Yes√ No

The panel notes the use of Recognition of Prior Learning at NCI and recommends that Section 5 outlines that RPL takes place using interview and assessment.



3. Quality Assurance

3.1. Application of agreed quality assurance procedures for development of programmes

Were the agreed quality assurance procedures for programme development followed?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Has the programme team demonstrated how programme delivery will be monitored in accordance with agreed QA procedures?

Yes✓ No Comment: None

Comment. None

Are programme management arrangements adequate and coherent?

Yes√ No





4. Programme structure and content

Is the programme structure well designed, coherent and fit for its sta
--

Yes√ No

The panel discussed the programme structure and sequencing with the programme team and is satisfied that it is fit for purpose.

4.1. Programme learning outcomes

Do the programme learning outcomes comply with national standards for the level of award proposed?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Are module descriptions adequate and relevant?

Yes√

No

The programme team should consider review of some modules to ensure that their curriculum is sufficiently expanded and that consistency of presentation of the reading lists is achieved.

Are modules relevant and current?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Does the combination of modules chosen have the coherence to support the proposed award?

Yes√

No

The panel did discuss the size, sequencing and mandatory or optional nature of some of the modules and have made recommendations in that regard.

4.2. Learning Modes

Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement of the required learning outcomes?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the programme and the proposed learner cohorts?

Yes√

No

The panel notes the use of flipped classrooms and that blended learning, in the form of the virtual classroom may be used.

4.3. Assessment strategies

Are assessment processes and methods adequately described?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Are these strategies appropriate to this type of award, in terms of type, frequency and volume?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Is assessment explicitly linked with intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No

Comment: None

Does the assessment strategy underpin the achievement of the relevant standard of knowledge, skill and competence?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

4.4. Duration

What is the intended duration of the Programme?

12 months full-time, 24 months part-time

What is the lifespan of the programme (e.g. single cohort intake to satisfy limited local demand; multiple intakes over the following 5 years etc.?)

Typically, from September 2016 onwards, a full and part-time cohort at a time on an annual basis. Should demand require it, a second cohort may commence at the beginning of the traditional 2nd semester.

Does the Panel believe this to be realistic?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Are there flexible modes of participation?

Yes√

No

The programme will be offered on a full- and part-time basis. As above, the virtual classroom may be used to support learners.

4.5. Credits

Is credit allocation in accordance with national and international guidelines?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Considering the level, outcomes and volume of each module, is the number of credits attached to each appropriate?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Considering the stated objective of the programme is the number of credits attached to the award appropriate?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

4.6. NFQ Level

Is the proposed level of the programme in accordance with institutional policy/national norms?

Yes√

No



Comment: None

4.7. Programme titles and award

Is the title consistent with national policy, is it informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√ No

4.8. Transfer and Progression

Has the Programme Development Team identified realistic transfer and progression opportunities/possibilities that learners may avail of following achievement of this award?

Yes√ No

The panel notes the development of the Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing. On reviewing the Programme Learning Outcomes, the panel would like to see greater differentiation between the two awards.





5. **Module Titles, Content and Assessment Strategy**

Is the title informative an	d is it fit for nurnose	2	Yes√	No
is the title informative an	a is it iit ioi paipose	· ·	1631	INO

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

No Comment: None

Module Title: Marketing in the 21st Century

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No

The panel is of the view that this module should be condensed and reduced to 5 ECTS.

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Module Title: Digital Marketing Strategy

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? No Yes√

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? No

Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No

Comment: None

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

No



Module Title: The Contemporary Consumer

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None Module Title: Integrated Marketing Communications in a Digital Age Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None



Module Title: Services Marketing

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment none Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None **Module Title: Market Research** Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? No Yes√ Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None



Module Title: Innovation and Creativity

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?

Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None **Module Title: Brand Management** Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? No Yes√ Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes√

Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No

Comment: None

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes√ No

Yes√

No

No



Module Title: Marketing Metrics and Accountability

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?	Yes√	No
---	------	----

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes√ No

The panel is of the view that this module should be mandatory

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes ✓ No

Comment: None

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

Yes**√** No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes✓
N

Yes✓ No Comment: None

Comment: None

Module Title: Marketing Insights and Customer Relationship Marketing

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes
✓
No

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes ✓ No Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes ✓ No

The practical nature of the tool used should be highlighted in the learning environment

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes**√** No



Module Title: Strategic Project Management

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

Yes√

No

The team should consider removal of the examination

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes√ No

Module Title: Strategic Social Media Marketing and Public Relations

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

The practical nature of the tools used should be highlighted in the learning and teaching strategy.

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

14



Module Title: Management of Innovation and Technology

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Learning outcomes should be reviewed to ensure that they are consistently measurable Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None **Module Title: Selling to the Modern Customer** Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None



Module Title: The Social Conscience of the Customer

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? No Learning outcomes should be reviewed to ensure that they are consistently measurable Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No A practical assessment such as a debate could be considered. Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None **Module Title: Postmodern Marketing** Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? No Yes√ Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Learning outcomes should be reviewed to ensure that they are consistently measurable Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None



Module Title: Research Methods

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

Sourcing of grey literature should be included.

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Module Title: Dissertation

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable?

Yes√

No

Comment: None

Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√

No

The programme team should consider the creation of a 4th model of output which allows learners to create a PhD proposal.

Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes?

No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic?

Yes√

No



Module Title: Business Research Methods

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None **Module Title: Industry Practicum Project** Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? No Yes√ Comment: None Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Comment: None Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No Comment: None Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ No Comment: None



6. Specific Issues to be addressed by the provider

6.1. Conditions of Approval:

- C1. Marketing Metrics and Accountability should become a mandatory module on the programme; Marketing in the 21st century should become a 5 credit module to facilitate this.
- C2. Review the Programme Learning Outcomes for the Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing and the MSc in Marketing to ensure appropriate differentiation.

6.2. Recommendations:

- R1. Review the module learning outcomes to ensure that they are at the appropriate level.
- R2. Revise the assessment matrix for the programme to indicate the scheduling of assessments.
- R3. Consider positioning the Contemporary Consumer for delivery before Digital Marketing Strategy.
- R4. Review the document to ensure consistency in relation to the format of the curriculum and the referencing of resources.
- R5. Articulate the globalisation elements of modules in the module learning outcomes.
- R6. In the access requirements remove mention of accepting non-cognate graduates with work experience as this is covered by RPL statement.
- R7. Review and update reading lists as appropriate.
- R8. Review added value activities for students, e.g. professional accreditation and guest lecturers.
- R9. Ensure that students are clear on how decisions in relation to elective delivery are made, and that all electives may not run.
- R10. An advisory board with industry representation should be developed to inform the delivery and future development of this programme.
- R11. Consider development and delivery of workshops for practical IT skills.

6.3. Commendations:

 Commend the staff for reworking the MSc in Marketing and the development of a new programme.



7. Overall Result of Evaluation Panel Review:

The Programme is recommended to the Programmes and Awards Executive Committee for approval subject to the provision to QQI of a revised submission document including programme schedule(s), which addresses the conditions and recommendations required in the report and which has been signed off by the Panel Chair if necessary.

This report has been agreed by the Evaluation Panel and is signed on their behalf by the Chair.

Panel Chairperson: Gerard O'Donovan Date: 22/06/16

Signed: Date: 22/06/16

General 5 Noner

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding the aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

While QQI has endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in the Report is correct, complete and up-to-date, any reliance placed on such information is strictly at the reader's own risk, and in no event will QQI be liable for any loss or damage (including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage) arising from, or in connection with, the use of the information contained in the Report of the External Evaluation Panel.



Appendix 1: Staff

Staff Name	Role
Dr Deirdre Bane	Lecturer in Finance
Mr Michael Bane	Lecturer in Marketing and Business
Mr Dave Cormack	Professional Education & Training Project Manager/Lecturer
Prof Aidan Daly	Adjunct Professor
Dr Jason Healy	Associate Faculty
Prof Jimmy Hill	Vice-President Academic Affairs & Research
Dr Louise Maguire	Programme Director & Lecturer in Marketing
Dr Philip McGovern	Lecturer in Management/Strategy
Dr Andrew O'Regan	Associate Faculty
Ms Eva Perez	Lecturer
Mr Vikas Sahni	Associate Faculty
Dr Corina Sheerin	Vice Dean/Lecturer in Finance
Prof Darach Turley	Adjunct Professor





MSc in Marketing

Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing

Programme Team Response to External Panel Report

The Programme Team thanks the panel members for their constructive input. The table below outlines each of the conditions and recommendations made and the team's response to that item outlining how each has been met. These are referenced to the accompanying submission documents

Feed	back of the Review Panel	Action
Cor C1.	Marketing Metrics and Accountability should become a mandatory module on the programme; Marketing in the 21st Century should become a 5 credit module to facilitate this.	The following sections have been amended to reflect this change Section 1 (Programme Schedule), Section 6.1 (Educational Objectives and their Assessment), Section 6.3 (Transferrable Skills Matrix), Section 6.6 (Programme Organisation) and Section 6.7.3.8 (Assessment Matrix), Section 7.1 Marketing in the 21st century module revised), Section 7.9 (Marketing Metrics and Accountability module updated to reflect compulsory module. Please see Postgraduate Diploma in Marketing
C2.	Review the Programme Learning Outcomes for the Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing and the MSc in Marketing to ensure appropriate differentiation.	document attached.

Recommendations

Feedback of the Review Panel	Action
Recommendations R1. Review the module learning outcomes to ensure that they are at the appropriate level.	All modules have been reviewed and revised as appropriate to ensure module learning outcomes now reflect Level 9 standards across the programme
outcomes to ensure that they	



Foodbook of the Daview Donal	Ireland
Feedback of the Review Panel	Action
R2. Revise the assessment matrix for the programme to indicate the scheduling of assessments.	Section 6.7.3.8 contains an assessment matrix for the programme. Section 6.7.3.9 includes a proposed assessment schedule.
R3. Consider positioning the Contemporary Consumer for delivery before Digital Marketing Strategy.	The Contemporary Consumer is now repositioned prior to Digital Marketing Strategy within the programme. The following sections have been revised to reflect this (Section 1 (Programme Schedule), Section 6.6 (Programme Organisation)
R4. Review the document to ensure consistency in relation to the format of the curriculum and the referencing of resources.	Modules have been reviewed and updated accordingly.
R5 . Articulate the globalisation elements of modules in the module learning outcomes.	Modules have been reviewed and where appropriate globalisation elements have been included. For example The Contemporary Consumer (Module 7.2, LO2), Integrated Marketing Communications (Module 7.4,LO5), Services Marketing (Module 7.5, LO3), Innovation and Creativity (Module 7.7,LO2) Brand Management (Module 7.8,LO8)
R6. In the access requirements remove mention of accepting noncognate graduates with work experience as this is covered by RPL statement	This has been removed and Section 5 revised accordingly.
R7. Review and update reading lists as appropriate.	Module owners have reviewed and updated reading lists as appropriate.
R8. Review added value activities for students, e.g. professional accreditation, guest lecturers.	Section 6.7 has been revised to include this recommendation. It makes specific reference to the use of internationally acclaimed and widely published guest lecturers and also the pursuit of ongoing professional accreditation.
R9. Ensure that students are clear on how decisions in relation to elective delivery are made, and that all electives may not run.	Section 6.6, Programme Organisation now explains how electives are chosen and that the most popular student choices of electives are offered.
R10. An advisory board with industry representation should be developed to inform the delivery and future development of this programme.	Section 6.4.2 has been revised to include this recommendation.



Feedback of the Review Panel	Action
R11. Consider development and delivery of workshops for practical IT skills.	Section 6.7 has been revised to include this recommendation.

Panel Chair Confirmation

garand of Donoral

To QQI Validation Unit

This is to confirm that I have reviewed the amended documentation from **National College of Ireland** for the programme(s) titled **NCI Programme MSc / PD Dip Marketing** submitted in response to a recent panel report chaired by me.

I can confirm that the amendments made address all the conditions set by the panel. Therefore, on behalf of the panel, I recommend this programme to QQI for validation.

Signed:

Date: 06/07/16

CERTIFICATE OF VALIDATION

Provider name	National College of Ireland
Date of validation	20 July 2016

	First Intake	Last Intake
Enrolment interval	September 2016	September 2020

	Code	Title	Award
Principal programme		MSc in Marketing	Master of Science
Embedded		Postgraduate Diploma in Business in	Postgraduate Diploma
programme		Marketing	
Embedded			
programme			

	Name	Maximum number of learners	Minimum number of learners
Approved centre	National College of	As per the validated	As per the validated
	Ireland	programmes	programmes

Target learner groups	As per the validated programmes
Approved countries for provision	Ireland
The teaching and learning	As per the validated programmes
modalities	
Brief synopsis of the programme (e.g. who it is for, what is it for, what is involved for learners, what it leads to.)	As per the validated programmes
Specifications for teaching staff	As per the validated programmes
Specifications for the ratio of learners to teaching-staff	As per the validated programmes

Programm	nes being replaced	
Code	Title	Comment
		N/A



Conditions of validation

The statutory (section 45(3) of the 2012 Act) conditions of validation are that the provider of the programme shall:

- a) co-operate with and assist QQI in the performance of QQI's functions in so far as those functions relate to the functions of the provider,
- b) establish procedures which are fair and consistent for the assessment of enrolled learners to ensure the standards of knowledge, skill or competence determined by QQI under section 49 (1) are acquired, and where appropriate, demonstrated, by enrolled learners,
- c) continue to comply with <u>section 65 of the 2012 Act</u> in respect of arrangements for the protection of enrolled learners, if applicable, and
- d) provide to QQI such information as QQI may from time to time require for the purposes of the performance of its functions, including information in respect of completion rates.

Conditions from HET Core Validation Policy and Criteria 2010, Revised 2013

The provider of the programme shall (for each programme):

- 1. Maintain the status of the programme(s) recognition;
- 2. Establish, having regard to existing quality assurance procedures, procedures for quality assurance for the purpose of further improving and maintaining the quality of education and training which is provided, organised or procured by that provider as part of the programme(s) concerned, and agree those procedures with QQI;
- 3. Operate quality assurance procedures agreed with QQI;
- 4. Implement procedures for the assessment of learners which are consistent with Assessment and Standards, Revised 2013;
- 5. Implement the procedures described in the document Policies, Actions and Procedures for Access, Transfer and Progression for Learners;
- 6. Implement any special conditions of validation attached to the relevant awards standards.

Other conditions from HET Core Validation Policy and Criteria 2010, Revised 2013

- 7. Notify QQI of any change in circumstances affecting the provider which could affect or be perceived to affect the provision of the programme(s). This includes significant changes in corporate or academic governance, ownership, legal status, profile of teaching staff, profile of learners, numbers enrolled, facilities, or resources;
- 8. Maintain learner data records (personal identification, progression, module marks, stage classification etc.) in order to assist QQI in the performance of its functions;
- 9. Provide the information required by QQI's award making and monitoring functions, including information in respect of completion rates;
- 10. Implement the programme in accordance with the **approved programme schedule(s)** (appended) and current assessment strategies;
- 11. Subject to Section 4.6.1 of *HET Core Validation Policy and Criteria 2010*, Revised 2013, obtain QQI's approval prior to substantially amending the programme's minimum intended learning outcomes, save in the case of incremental enhancements arising from the implementation of findings of the provider's agreed quality assurance procedures;
- 12. Notify QQI of any information concerning the programme(s), or circumstances that may reasonably be expected to give QQI cause to consider reviewing the programme. Explicitly this includes where another awarding body withdraws or seeks to withdraw validation from the programme(s) and /or any alterations to accreditations (additions or withdrawals) by a professional or regulatory body;
- 13. Implement the programme(s) as agreed with the resources indicated;
- 14. Adhere to, and implement the Provider Lifecycle of Engagements.



Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Approved Programme Schedule(s)

Total % 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 90 End of Module Assessment % MSCMRKD 20 20 20 9 20 20 0 0 0 0 Allocation of Marks Coursework % 100 100 100 100 100 100 20 20 40 20 20 20 Stage Credits (ECTS) ISCED Subject Code Award NQF Level Stage EQF Level Independent Learning **Programme Codes** Number of Stages 220 220 92 92 95 95 95 92 92 95 95 95 Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing Contact **Total Student Effort** Hours FULL TIME, PART TIME BLOCK DELIVERY 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 Hours Total 250 125 250 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 National College of Ireland 125 125 Master of Science MSc in Marketing Number Credit ECTS 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Ŋ 14/09/16 Major Level NOF 6 6 0 6 6 6 6 0 6 6 6 Module Status (c/o) Modes of Delivery (FT/PT/ACCS/BLENDED/OC etc) 0 C ں O 0 0 Semester Programme Title (i.e. named award) Award Title (HETAC named award) Integrated Marketing Media Marketing and Marketing in the 21st Communications in a **Brand Management** Services Marketing The Contemporary Marketing Metrics Marketing Insights and Accountability Digital Marketing Market Research Strategic Project **Public Relations** Strategic Social Innovation and and Customer Stage Exit Award Title Management Management Relationship **Module Title** Digital Age Consumer Creativity Name of Provider Strategy Award EQF Level Stage NQF Level Century Date Effective **Award Class** Stage Ref



Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

Name o	Name of Provider			Nationa	National College of Ireland	eland	Programme Codes	e Codes		MSCMRKD	
Progran	Programme Title (i.e. named award)	vard)		MSc in N	n Marketing						
Award	Award Title (HETAC named award)	ırd)		Master	Master of Science						
Stage E	Stage Exit Award Title			Postgra	Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing	a in Busines	ss in Marketir	gı			
Modes	Modes of Delivery (FT/PT/ACCS/BLENDED/OC etc)	/BLENDED/C	OC etc)	FULL_TI	TIME, PART_TIME BLOCK DELIVERY	1E BLOCK D	ELIVERY				
Stage				1			Number of Stages	Stages		1	
Award Class	Class			Major				Award NQF Level			6
Award	Award EQF Level			7				Stage Credits (ECTS)	TS)		06
Stage N	Stage NQF Level			6				Stage EQF Level			7
Date Effective	fective			14/09/16	9.			ISCED Subject Code	de		
Ref	Module Title	Semester	Module		ECTS	Total Stud	Total Student Effort		Allocation of Marks	S	
			Status (C/O)	NQF Level	Credit Number	Total Hours	Contact Hours	Independent Learning	Coursework %	End of Module Assessment %	Total %
	Management of	2	0	6	5	125	30	95	50	50	100
	Innovation &							_			
1	I eciliology										
	The Social	2	0	6	2	125	30	95	40	09	100
	Conscience of the							_			
	Postmodern	2	0	6	2	125	30	95	50	50	100
	Marketing										
	Selling to the	2	0	6	5	125	30	95	100	0	100
	Modern Customer										
	Research Methods	1/2	GE1	6	10	250	30	220	100	0	100
	Dissertation	3	GE1	6	20	200	4	496	100	0	100
	Business Research	1/2	GE2	6	10	250	30	220	100	0	100
	Methods										
	Industry Practicum	3	GE2	6	20	250	24	476	100	0	100
	Project										
Cancial	Caocial Boardations:										

Special Regulations:

Select 2 electives from list of elective optional modules (O). Students who take the Dissertation must take Research Methods (GE1) Students who take the Industry Practicum must take Business Research Methods (GE2)

The Industry Practicum Project or Dissertation may not be used for compensation.



Total % 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 90 6 End of Module Assessment % MSCMRKD 20 20 20 9 20 20 20 0 0 0 0 Allocation of Marks Coursework % 100 100 100 100 100 100 40 20 20 20 20 20 20 Stage Credits (ECTS) ISCED Subject Code Award NQF Level Stage EQF Level Independent Learning Programme Codes Number of Stages 220 220 95 92 92 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 92 Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing **Total Student Effort** Contact Hours FULL_TIME, PART_TIME BLOCK DELIVERY 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 Hours Total 250 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 250 125 National College of Ireland 125 Number Credit ECTS 10 2 Ŋ Ŋ 2 2 2 2 Ŋ 2 വ 2 14/09/16 Major Level NOF 6 6 6 6 6 6 0 6 6 6 6 6 Module Status (c/o) Modes of Delivery (FT/PT/ACCS/BLENDED/OC etc) ں 0 O C C O O 0 0 0 Semester Programme Title (i.e. named award) \vdash \vdash 7 7 7 Award Title (HETAC named award) Marketing in the 21st Integrated Marketing Media Marketing and Communications in a **Brand Management** Services Marketing The Contemporary Marketing Metrics Marketing Insights and Accountability Digital Marketing Market Research Strategic Project **Public Relations** Management of Innovation and Strategic Social and Customer Management Management Stage Exit Award Title Relationship **Module Title** Digital Age Consumer Creativity Name of Provider Strategy Award EQF Level Stage NQF Level Century Date Effective **Award Class** Stage Ref



QQI Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann

:	-				:						
Name	Name of Provider			National	National College of Ireland	eland	Programme Codes	e Codes		MSCMRKD	
Progra	Programme Title (i.e. named award)	/ard)		Postgrac	aduate Diploma in Business in Marketing	a in Busines.	s in Marketii	Bl			
Award	Award Title (HETAC named award)	rd)		Postgrac	Postgraduate Diploma in Business in Marketing	ล in Busines:	s in Marketii	gı			
Stage	Stage Exit Award Title										
Modes	Modes of Delivery (FT/PT/ACCS/BLENDED/OC etc)	/BLENDED/O	C etc)	FULL_TII	TIME, PART_TIME BLOCK DELIVERY	1E BLOCK DE	ELIVERY				
Stage				1			Number of Stages	Stages		1	
Award Class	l Class			Major				Award NQF Level			6
Award	Award EQF Level			7				Stage Credits (ECTS)	TS)		06
Stage	Stage NQF Level			6				Stage EQF Level			7
Date E	Date Effective			14/09/16	9			ISCED Subject Code	qe		
Ref	Module Title	Semester	Module		ECTS	Total Student Effort	lent Effort		Allocation of Marks	S	
			Status (C/O)	NQF Level	Credit Number	Total Hours	Contact Hours	Independent Learning	Coursework %	End of Module Assessment %	Total %
	Innovation &										
	Technology										
	The Social	2	0	6	5	125	30	95	40	09	100
	Conscience of the										
	Consumer				1						
	Postmodern	2	0	6	5	125	30	95	50	20	100
	Marketing				1						
	Selling to the	2	0	6	5	125	30	95	100	0	100
	Modern Customer										
	Research Methods	1/2	GE1	6	10	250	30	220	100	0	100
	Business Research	1/2	GE2	6	10	250	30	220	100	0	100
	Methods										
Specia	Special Beginstions:										

Special Regulations:Select 2 electives from list of elective optional modules (O).

Select 1 module from Research Methods (GE1) or Business Research Methods (GE2)