

Report of the Programme Evaluation Panel

Provider's Name:	National College of Ireland
Address:	Mayor Square
	IFSC
	Dublin 1
QA procedures agreed on:	2006
QA procedures reviewed on:	2010
Programme()s submitted for approval:	Leading to the award of:
Certificate in Social	Certificate in Social Enterprise and Entrepreneurship
Enterprise and Entrepreneurship	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
Date submitted to QQI:	
Date of Evaluation:	16 June 2016
Date of Report:	17 June 2016

Membership of the Programme Evaluation Panel:

Role	Name	Area of Expertise	QQI Peer Review
			Reference Listing
Chairperson	Mr Gerard O'Donovan	Chair, Head of Faculty	
		of Business &	
		Humanities, Cork IT	
External Specialist	Ms Donna Day	Third Sector,	
	Lafferty	Fundraising	
External Specialist	Ms Aine McManus	Management,	
		Information	
		Technologies	
External Specialist	Ms Carmel Brennan	Marketing	
Industry/Employer	Ms Ruth Guy	Marketing, Non Profit	
Perspective	_	Sector management,	
-		fundraising	
Rapporteur	Ms Sinéad O'Sullivan	_	



1. Profile of provider:

NCI, through its two schools, the School of Business, School of Computing, offers over 80 full-time and part-time programmes at levels 6-10 of the National Framework of Qualifications.

NCI's programmes are accredited by the QQI, the Chartered Institute of Personal Development (CIPD) and the Institute of Commercial Management (ICM).

Programmes in Accounting and Finance enjoy recognition by such professional bodies as the Chartered Accountants Ireland (ACA)), the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA), and the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA). National College of Ireland is the largest provider of Chartered Institute of Professional Development (CIPD) accredited programmes in the Human Resource Management area.

Although a company limited by guarantee, the College is partially funded through the Department of Education and Skills for 925 undergraduate full-time students. All other funding comes from student fees and commercial income. As part of its internationalisation strategy, the College is active in India, Malaysia, China and more recently Brazil and Africa. Over 50 nationalities are represented within the study body, mainly from communities in the Greater Dublin area.

Enrolment in May 2016 stands at 4600 (3700 fte) of which 43% are part-time. 70% of learners are enrolled on undergraduate programmes which range from major awards to professionally focussed special purpose awards. The College is currently one of the largest providers of Springboard/ICT programmes in the country rising to over 800 places in 2015/16.

The Higher Education Authority (HEA) provides additional funding under initiatives such as Funds for Students with Disability and the Student Assistance Funds.

In line with its mission of widening access to education, the College places a strong emphasis on the needs of the learner and provides a range of learning options that extend beyond traditional classroom dynamics, including distance learning and internet-based learning programmes.

Programmes are delivered by a combination of full-time and part-time (associate faculty) which bring current experiences and issues from the workplace into the classroom. The College currently has a policy of normally only appointing holders of PhD to full-time faculty and supports any member of staff who is undertaking PhD study both financially and via workload rebalancing. The College currently has 52 full-time academic staff, of which 60% are holders of a PhD.



2. Planning:

Programme development since agreement of QA procedures / the last review

The College has developed a significant number of programmes since its last institutional review in 2010 culminating in 2015 with a complete programmatic review of its portfolio across the Business, Computing and Education subject areas. During the period 2014-2016, 31 programmes have been revalidated and a further 35 programmes (15 in 2015) have been validated or are in the process of being validated.

2.1. Pur	pose	of the	award
----------	------	--------	-------

Does the proposed	programme address	a clear market dem	and? Y	es√ No
Does the proposed	programme address	a cicai illainei ucii	iaiiu:	53' INC

This proposed Certificate in Social Enterprise and Entrepreneurship, is aimed at improving managerial competencies at an emerging management senior level in non-profit organisations while combining academic evidence-based practices with practitioner led knowledge and skills.

2.2. Avoidance of duplication

Has the Programme Development Team identified the availability of similar programmes locally, regionally, nationally?

Yes√ No

The programme has been significantly reviewed and informed by consultations with the Wheel, the sectoral representative body and other sectoral influencers.

2.3. Stakeholder consultation

Was the level of stakeholder engagement satisfactory? Yes√ No

Comment: None

Support for the programme (industry/business/community)

Yes√

No

As the programme has been developed and is a collaborative endeavour in conjunction with sectorial representatives it has the support of the sector

2.4. Efficient and effective use of resources

Does the proposed programme represent both efficient and effective use of the provider's resources?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

2.5. Resource development over last 5 years (or in direct support of this programme)

Specific Comments:



Staff: The panel notes that the programme will be delivered by Quality Matters who will be supported by NCI. Quality Matters faculty will be associate faculty of NCI and subject to NCI quality assurance procedures for recruitment, learner evaluation and staff development.

Accommodation: The programme will be provided at the NCI campus in Dublin and there are adequate facilities in place and Carmichael House which has been reviewed using NCI's quality assurance process for selection of off-campus locations. .

Information technology: The evaluation panels is of the view that very effort should continue to be made to use up-to-date technologies in support of student learning both inside and outside of the classroom.

Library: Administration: Comment: None

Publicity/public information: Comment: None

2.6. Planned development over the coming 5 years?

Have the QQI award standards been explicitly referred to in the programme and does the programme meet those standards at the specified level?

Yes**√** No

Comment: None

Has the Provider complied with Protection for Enrolled Learner requirements?

Yes√ No

Protection for Enrolled Learners has been arranged with Griffith College and the Irish College of Humanities and Science.

2.7. Access

Is the expected minimum and maximum number of all learners entering the programme explicitly stated?

Yes ✓ No Comment: None

Have any/all prerequisite knowledge, skills or competence or any other specific entry requirement been articulated?

Yes**√** No

The panel notes the use of Recognition of Prior Learning at NCI and recommends that Section 5 outlines that RPL takes place using interview and assessment.



3. Quality Assurance

3.1. Application of agreed quality assurance procedures for development of programmes

Were the agreed quality assurance procedures for programme development followed?

Yes√ No

This programme is proposed as a collaborative arrangement between NCI, The Wheel and Quality Matters. The panel is satisfied that the appropriate quality assurance arrangements and agreements are in place to ensure that the programme is delivered appropriately.

The panel commends the obvious good working relationship between the parties as demonstrated during the engagement.

Has the programme team demonstrated how programme delivery will be monitored in accordance with agreed QA procedures?

Yes√ No

The panel heard how delivery and evaluation of the programme is subject to NCI's quality assurance procedures as agreed with QQI for collaborative provision and NCI remains responsible for the review and moderation of all assessment.

Are programme management arrangements adequate and coherent?

Yes**√** No

Comment: None



4. Programme structure and content

ls	the	programme	structure	well	designed.	coherent a	and	fit fo	r its	stated	pur	poseʻ	?

Yes√ No

The panel discussed the programme structure and sequencing with the programme team and is satisfied that it is fit for purpose.

4.1. Programme learning outcomes

Do the programme learning outcomes comply with nation	al standard	is for the level	of award
proposed?			
•		Yes√	Nο

Comment: None

Are module descriptions adequate and relevant? Yes✓ No

Comment: None

Are modules relevant and current? Yes ✓ No

Comment: None

Does the combination of modules chosen have the coherence to support the proposed award?

Yes√ No

Comment: None

4.2. Learning Modes

Can the teaching and learning strategies proposed support achievement of the required learning outcomes?

Yes✓ No Comment: None

Are the delivery mechanisms proposed adequate to the needs of the programme and the proposed learner cohorts?

Yes√ No

The panel notes that blended learning in the form of the virtual classroom may be used.

4.3. Assessment strategies

Are assessment processes and methods adequately described? Yes✓ No

Comment: None

Are these strategies appropriate to this type of award, in terms of type, frequency and volume?

Yes√ No Comment: None

Is assessment explicitly linked with intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No



Comment: None		
Does the assessment strategy underpin the achievement of the rele and competence?		of knowledge, skil
Comment: None	Yes√	No
4.4. Duration		
What is the intended duration of the Programme?		
3-5 months		
What is the lifespan of the programme (e.g. single cohort intake to s multiple intakes over the following 5 years etc.?)	atisfy limited loo	cal demand;
Does the Panel believe this to be realistic?	Yes√	No
Comment: None		
Are there flexible modes of participation?	Yes√	No
The programme will be offered primarily on a part-time basis may be used to support learners.	s. As above, the	e virtual classroor
4.5. Credits		
Is credit allocation in accordance with national and international guid	lelines?	
Comment: None	Yes√	No
Considering the level, outcomes and volume of each module, is the	number of cred	lits attached to
each appropriate?	Yes√	No
Considering the stated objective of the programme is the number of appropriate?	credits attached	d to the award
The programme teaching, & assessment strategy should be learning delivered	Yes√ expanded to sl	No how the depth of
4.6. NFQ Level		
Is the proposed level of the programme in accordance with institution	nal policy/natior	nal norms?
Comment: None	Yes√	No

4.7. Programme titles and award



Is the title consistent with national policy, is it informative and is it fit for purpose?

Yes√ No

The panel recommends that entrepreneurship is removed from the title

4.8. Transfer and Progression

Has the Programme Development Team identified realistic transfer and progression opportunities/possibilities that learners may avail of following achievement of this award?

Yes√ No

The panel notes that the College has developed a number of Special Purpose Awards in the non-profit sector and that these programmes may be used, subject to the sectoral conventions on assessment, as access or transfer options should the learner wish to do so.





5. **Module Titles, Content and Assessment Strategy**

Module Title: Social Enterprise and Entrepreneurship

Is the title informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No The panel recommends that entrepreneurship is removed from the title Are the specific learning outcomes a) properly stated, b) sufficient and c) achievable? Yes√ No Learning outcomes should be reviewed to ensure that they are consistently measurable Is the content sufficiently informative and is it fit for purpose? Yes√ No Environmental Analysis should be added to the curriculum. Does the Assessment Strategy align sufficiently with the intended learning outcomes? Yes√ No

Comment: None

Is the required reading and supplementary reading appropriate, current and realistic? Yes√ Comment: None



6. Specific Issues to be addressed by the provider

6.1. Conditions of Approval:

None

6.2. Recommendations:

- R1. Consider removing 'entrepreneurship' from title of the programme/module
- R2. Include environmental analysis and scanning in content.
- R3. The programme teaching, & assessment strategy should be expanded to show the depth of learning delivered.
- R4. Learning outcomes should be reviewed to ensure that they are consistently measurable.
- R5. Materials are provided to learners prior to commencing the programme to assist them in developing their social enterprise idea.
- R6. Reading lists and resources should be consistently presented reference to 3rd sector including texts and grey literature.
- R7. Module learning outcomes needed to be aligned to programme learning outcomes
- R8. Guidelines should be developed in relation to the extent of leadership experience required for entry.





7. Overall Result of Evaluation Panel Review:

The Programme is recommended to the Programmes and Awards Executive Committee for approval subject to the provision to QQI of a revised submission document including programme schedule(s), which addresses the conditions and recommendations required in the report and which has been signed off by the Panel Chair if necessary.

This report has been agreed by the Evaluation Panel and is signed on their behalf by the Chair.

Panel Chairperson: Gerard O'Donovan Date: 22/06/16

Signed: Date 22/06/16

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding the aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

While QQI has endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in the Report is correct, complete and up-to-date, any reliance placed on such information is strictly at the reader's own risk, and in no event will QQI be liable for any loss or damage (including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage) arising from, or in connection with, the use of the information contained in the Report of the External Evaluation Panel.



Appendix 1: Staff

Staff Name
Caroline Gardner
Brian Carroll





Certificate in Social Enterprise

Programme Team Response to External Panel Report

The Programme Team thanks the panel members for their constructive input. The table below outlines each of the conditions and recommendations made and the team's response to that item outlining how each has been met. These are referenced to the accompanying submission documents

Recommendations	Actions
R1. Consider removing 'entrepreneurship' from title of the programme/module	This has been done as per the revised programme outline
R2. Include environmental analysis and scanning in content.	This has been added to the course/module outline. See 7.1.3
R3. The programme teaching, & assessment strategy should be expanded to show the depth of learning delivered.	7.1.4 and 7.1.5 have been modified to meet this recommendation.
R4. Learning outcomes should be reviewed to ensure that they are consistently measurable.	A draft project rubric has been developed and attached as a "Sample Assessment" to the programme outline. The Module Learning Outcomes have been modified in 7.1.1 to improve measurability of the outcomes.
R5. Materials are provided to learners prior to commencing the programme to assist them in developing their social enterprise idea.	These will be developed and provided to students prior to starting the programme.
R6. Reading lists and resources should be consistently presented reference to 3rd sector including texts and grey literature.	7.2 has been modified as per the recommendation
R7. Module learning outcomes needed to be aligned to programme learning outcomes	These have been aligned and are shown in the Programme Learning Outcomes in Section 6.
R8. Guidelines should be developed in relation to the extent of leadership experience required for entry.	Guidelines have been developed and these are shown in the Appendix and are common to all the Special Purpose Award programmes in Non-Profit Management

Panel Chair Confirmation

garand o Donovar

To QQI Validation Unit

This is to confirm that I have reviewed the amended documentation from **National College of Ireland** for the programme(s) titled **Programme** submitted in response to a recent panel report chaired by me.

I can confirm that the amendments made address all the conditions set by the panel. Therefore, on behalf of the panel, I recommend this programme to QQI for validation.

Signed:

Date: 06/07/16

Panel Chair Confirmation

garand o Donovar

To QQI Validation Unit

This is to confirm that I have reviewed the amended documentation from **National College of Ireland** for the programme(s) titled **Certificate in Social Enterprise and Entrepreneurship** submitted in response to a recent panel report chaired by me.

I can confirm that the amendments made address all the conditions set by the panel. Therefore, on behalf of the panel, I recommend this programme to QQI for validation.

Signed:

Date: 06/07/16

CERTIFICATE OF VALIDATION

Provider name	National College of Ireland
Date of validation	20 July 2016

	First Intake	Last Intake
Enrolment interval	September 2016	September 2020

	Code	Title	Award
Principal programme		Certificate in Social Enterprise and	Certificate
		Entrepreneurship	
Embedded			
programme			
Embedded			
programme			

	Name	Maximum number of learners	Minimum number of learners
Approved centre	National College of	As per the validated	As per the validated
	Ireland	programmes	programmes

Target learner groups	As per the validated programmes
Approved countries for provision	Ireland
The teaching and learning modalities	As per the validated programmes
Brief synopsis of the programme (e.g. who it is for, what is it for, what is involved for learners, what it leads to.)	As per the validated programmes
Specifications for teaching staff	As per the validated programmes
Specifications for the ratio of learners to teaching-staff	As per the validated programmes

Programmes being replaced				
Code	Title	Comment		
		N/A		



Conditions of validation

The statutory (section 45(3) of the 2012 Act) conditions of validation are that the provider of the programme shall:

- a) co-operate with and assist QQI in the performance of QQI's functions in so far as those functions relate to the functions of the provider,
- b) establish procedures which are fair and consistent for the assessment of enrolled learners to ensure the standards of knowledge, skill or competence determined by QQI under section 49 (1) are acquired, and where appropriate, demonstrated, by enrolled learners,
- c) continue to comply with <u>section 65 of the 2012 Act</u> in respect of arrangements for the protection of enrolled learners, if applicable, and
- d) provide to QQI such information as QQI may from time to time require for the purposes of the performance of its functions, including information in respect of completion rates.

Conditions from HET Core Validation Policy and Criteria 2010, Revised 2013

The provider of the programme shall (for each programme):

- 1. Maintain the status of the programme(s) recognition;
- 2. Establish, having regard to existing quality assurance procedures, procedures for quality assurance for the purpose of further improving and maintaining the quality of education and training which is provided, organised or procured by that provider as part of the programme(s) concerned, and agree those procedures with QQI;
- 3. Operate quality assurance procedures agreed with QQI;
- 4. Implement procedures for the assessment of learners which are consistent with Assessment and Standards, Revised 2013;
- 5. Implement the procedures described in the document Policies, Actions and Procedures for Access, Transfer and Progression for Learners;
- 6. Implement any special conditions of validation attached to the relevant awards standards.

Other conditions from HET Core Validation Policy and Criteria 2010, Revised 2013

- 7. Notify QQI of any change in circumstances affecting the provider which could affect or be perceived to affect the provision of the programme(s). This includes significant changes in corporate or academic governance, ownership, legal status, profile of teaching staff, profile of learners, numbers enrolled, facilities, or resources;
- 8. Maintain learner data records (personal identification, progression, module marks, stage classification etc.) in order to assist QQI in the performance of its functions;
- 9. Provide the information required by QQI's award making and monitoring functions, including information in respect of completion rates;
- 10. Implement the programme in accordance with the **approved programme schedule(s)** (appended) and current assessment strategies;
- 11. Subject to Section 4.6.1 of *HET Core Validation Policy and Criteria 2010*, Revised 2013, obtain QQI's approval prior to substantially amending the programme's minimum intended learning outcomes, save in the case of incremental enhancements arising from the implementation of findings of the provider's agreed quality assurance procedures;
- 12. Notify QQI of any information concerning the programme(s), or circumstances that may reasonably be expected to give QQI cause to consider reviewing the programme. Explicitly this includes where another awarding body withdraws or seeks to withdraw validation from the programme(s) and /or any alterations to accreditations (additions or withdrawals) by a professional or regulatory body;
- 13. Implement the programme(s) as agreed with the resources indicated;
- 14. Adhere to, and implement the Provider Lifecycle of Engagements.



Quality and Qualifications Ireland Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann Approved Programme Schedule(s)

Total % 100.00 10 6 Examination % Allocation of Marks Coursework % 100 Stage Credits (ECTS) ISCED Subject Code Award NQF Level Stage EQF Level Independent Learning **Programme Codes** Number of Stages 200 Certificate in Social Enterprise & & Entrepreneurship Certificate in Social Enterprise & Entrepreneurship **Total Student Effort** Contact Hours 20 Total Hours 250 Part - Time in Block Delivery Number Credit ECTS Special Purpose 10 01/02/16 Award NQF Level 2 6 6 Module Status (M/E) Σ Modes of Delivery (FT/PT/ACCS/BLENDED/OC etc.) Semester Programme Title (i.e. named award) Social Enterprise and Award Title (QQI named award) Entrepreneurship Module Title Stage Exit Award Title Special Regulations: -Name of Provider Award EQF Level Stage NQF Level Date Effective **Award Class** Stage Ref 1.1