

# **Component Specification NFQ Level 6**

## **HACCP in Food Production 6N0199**

# 1. Component Details

Title HACCP in Food Production

Teideal as Gaeilge AGPRC i dTáirgeacht Bhia

Award Type Minor

**Code** 6N0199

Level 6

Credit Value 5

**Purpose** The purpose of this award is to equip the learner with the

relevant knowledge, skill and competence to develop, document, implement and evaluate food safety management systems in food handling and production environments based on the Codex Alimentarius Principles for HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical

Control Points).

## Learning Outcomes

Learners will be able to:

- Interpret the seven Codex Alimentarius Principles for HACCP in a range of contexts to ascertain if food safety management systems comply effectively with the stated requirements
- 2 Outline the business operator's legal responsibility for food safety in a food enterprise
- 3 Describe the process for developing and implementing a HACCP plan
- 4 Document food safety management systems

- 5 Evaluate food HACCP systems for compliance with requirements to ensure food is safe for human consumption
- Apply Codex Alimentarius Principles to food safety requirements in food handling and production facilities
- 7 Evaluate food safety management systems in food handling and production environments to identify strengths and weaknesses
- 8 Provide guidance on the design and development of HACCP systems in a range of food handling and production contexts
- 9 Maintain HACCP systems in food handling and production environments.

#### Assessment

#### **General Information**

All assessment should be planned in accordance with the programme assessment strategy developed as part of the programme submission for validation. See **Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes.** Assessment should be undertaken consistently and reflect current assessment guidelines. See <a href="https://www.qqi.ie.">www.qqi.ie.</a>.

All FET assessment is criterion referenced. Successful achievement of the award is based on learners attaining the required standards of knowledge, skill or competence consistent with the **minimum intended programme learning outcomes**.

The techniques set out below are considered the optimum approach to assessment for this component. In exceptional circumstances providers may identify alternative assessment techniques through the provider's application for programme validation which are reliable and valid but which are more appropriate to their context.

Assessment of a number of components may be integrated across programmes for delivery, provided that the learning outcomes of each minor award are assessed.

Group or team work may form part of the assessment, provided each learner's achievement is separately assessed.

All providers are required to submit an assessment plan as part of their application for programme validation. Assessment Plans will include information relating to scheduling and integration of assessment. See current FET validation guidelines at www.qqi.ie.

# **Assessment Techniques**

In order to demonstrate that they have reached the standards of knowledge, skill and competence identified in all the learning outcomes, learners are required to complete the assessment(s) below.

The assessor is responsible for devising assessment instruments (e.g. project and assignment briefs, examination papers), assessment criteria and mark sheets, consistent with the techniques identified below and QQI's assessment requirements.

Programme validation will require providers to map each learning outcome to its associated assessment technique. All learning outcomes **must** be assessed and achieved in accordance with the **minimum intended module learning outcomes** set out in the validated programme.

Project 60% Examination - Theory 40%

# **Description**

# **Project**

A project is a response to a brief devised by the assessor. A project is usually carried out over an extended period of time. Projects may involve research, require investigation of a topic, issue or problem or may involve process such as a design task, a performance or practical activity or production of an artefact or event.

## **Examination - Theory**

An examination provides a means of assessing a learner's ability to recall and apply knowledge, skills and understanding within a set period of time and under clearly specified conditions.

A theory-based examination assesses the ability to recall, apply and understand specific theory and knowledge.

# Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)

To support the development and implementation of RPL with regard to access, granting credit/exemptions and achievement of awards/parts of awards, providers should refer to QQI's Statutory Guidelines for Quality Assurance, the Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes and the Principles and

Operational Guidelines for the Recognition of Prior Learning in Further and Higher Education and Training available at www.qqi.ie

Grading	Pass	50% - 64%	
	Merit	65% - 79%	
	Distinction	80% - 100%	

Specific Validation	1
Requirements	

There are no specific validation requirements for this award

Supporting Documentation

None

Access To access programmes leading to this award the learner should

have reached the standards of knowledge, skill and competence associated with the preceding level of the National Framework of Qualifications. This may have been achieved through a formal qualification or through relevant life and work experience.

**Transfer** Successful completion of this component award enables the

learner to transfer to programmes leading to other certificates where this component is a mandatory or an elective requirement.

#### 2. FET Award Standards

QQI award standards are determined within the National Framework of Qualifications (NFQ), <a href="http://www.nfq-qqi.com">http://www.nfq-qqi.com</a>. QQI determines standards for the education and training awards that it makes itself and that are made by providers to whom it has delegated authority to make an award. Providers offering programmes leading to QQI awards **must** have their programme(s) validated in accordance with current validation policy (see <a href="https://www.nqqi.ie">www.qqi.ie</a>).

Award standards are designed to be consistent with the NFQ's award classes i.e. major, special purpose, supplemental and minor awards. They are expressed in terms of **learning outcomes** i.e. concise statements of what the learner is expected to know or be able to do in order to achieve a particular award. Learning outcomes for FET awards are contained within the associated specifications:

AWARD CLASS	STANDARDS	AWARDS
Major Award	Certificate Specification	Certificate (Levels 1 to 5) Advanced Certificate (Level 6)
Supplemental Award	Supplemental Specification	Supplemental Certificate (Level 3 to 6)
Special Purpose	Specific Purpose Specification	Specific Purpose Certificate (Levels 3 to 6)
Minor Award	Component Specification	Component Certificate (Levels 1 to 6)

Award standards are thresholds, they describe standards of knowledge, skill or competence to be acquired, and where appropriate, demonstrated, by a learner before an award may be made.

Award standards will be reviewed from time to time as necessary. Minor changes may be made by the QQI executive outside the review cycle where necessary. Changes to standards are published on QQI's website. Providers with validated programmes and providers with delegated authority to make awards are responsible for monitoring relevant standards and making necessary responses to changes.

#### 3. FET Credit

Every FET certificate and component specification includes an FET credit value (Table 1). FET credit is quantified in multiples of 5 FET credits (up to 50 hours of learner effort). Learner effort is based on the time taken by typical learners at the level of the award to achieve the learning outcomes for the award. It includes all learning time involved including: guided learning hours, self-directed learning and assessment.

**Table 1: FET Credit Values** 

NFQ Level	Major Awards Credit Values	Default Credit Values Minor Awards	Other Permitted Minor Award Credit Values	Special Purpose and Supplemental Award Credit Value Ranges
1	20	5	10	
2	30	5	10	
3	60	10	5,20	>5 and<60
4	90	10	5,15,20	>5 and<90
5	120	15	5,10,30	>5 and <120
6	120	15	5,10,30	>5 and <120

#### **Guide to Level**

Learning outcomes at this level include a comprehensive range of skills which may be vocationally-specific and/or of a general supervisory nature, and require detailed theoretical understanding. The outcomes also provide for a particular focus on learning skills. The outcomes relate to working in a generally autonomous way to assume design and/or management and/or administrative responsibilities. Occupations at this level would include higher craft, junior technician and supervisor.

Sub-strand	Nature of learning
Breadth	Specialised knowledge of a broad area
Kind	Some theoretical concepts and abstract thinking, with significant depth in some areas
Range	Demonstrate a comprehensive range of specialised skills and tools
Selectivity	Formulate responses to well defined abstract problems
Context	Utilise diagnostic and creative skills in a range of functions in a wide variety of contexts
	Breadth Kind Range Selectivity

Role Exercise substantial personal autonomy and often take

responsibility for the work of others and/or for the allocation of resources; form and function within, multiple and complex

heterogeneous groups.

Learning to Learn

Learn to take responsibility for own learning within a managed

environment.

Insight Express an internalised, personal world view, reflecting

engagement with others.

Extract from 'Determinations for the Outline National Framework of Qualifications': NQAI

