

Component Specification NFQ Level 5

Political Philosophy 5N3426

1. Component Details

Title Political Philosophy

Teideal as Gaeilge Fealsúnacht Polaitiúil

Award Type Minor

Code 5N3426

Level 5

Credit Value 15

Purpose The purpose of this award is to equip the learner with the

knowledge, skill and competence to examine political systems

and some underpinning beliefs and concepts.

Learning Outcomes Learners will be able to:

- Distinguish between different visions behind concepts of human nature including the Tragic and Utopian
- 2 Identify alternative approaches to establishing the philosophical foundations of political beliefs
- 3 Distinguish between models of democracy including protective and developmental models
- 4 Describe relations of human existence such as dominance, subordination, power
- Analyse the concept of human nature behind actions and beliefs concerning a range of issues such as intercultural relations, abortion, euthanasia

- 6 Evaluate the usefulness of the concept of human nature
- 7 Evaluate the strengths, weaknesses and future relevance of different models of democracy, including with reference to international relations and global transformation
- 8 Analyse a range of contemporary issues from the perspective of political power, such as war, terrorism, control of and by media, gender relations, common resources and environmental resources
- 9 Present information and findings according to a coherent argument or purpose
- 10 Gather relevant information systematically from primary and secondary sources
- 11 Identify personal civic responsibilities and stance with regard to a prevalent democratic model, or power relationship
- 12 Use a variety of research and presentation strategies appropriate for assessment conditions.

Assessment

General Information

All assessment should be planned in accordance with the programme assessment strategy developed as part of the programme submission for validation. See **Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes.** Assessment should be undertaken consistently and reflect current assessment guidelines. See www.qqi.ie.

All FET assessment is criterion referenced. Successful achievement of the award is based on learners attaining the required standards of knowledge, skill or competence consistent with the **minimum intended programme learning outcomes**.

The techniques set out below are considered the optimum approach to assessment for this component. In exceptional circumstances providers may identify alternative assessment techniques through the provider's application for programme validation which are reliable and valid but which are more appropriate to their context.

Assessment of a number of components may be integrated across programmes for delivery, provided that the learning outcomes of each minor award are assessed.

Group or team work may form part of the assessment, provided each learner's achievement is separately assessed.

All providers are required to submit an assessment plan as part of their application for programme validation. Assessment Plans will include information relating to scheduling and integration of assessment. See current FET validation guidelines at www.qqi.ie.

Assessment Techniques

In order to demonstrate that they have reached the standards of knowledge, skill and competence identified in all the learning outcomes, learners are required to complete the assessment(s) below.

The assessor is responsible for devising assessment instruments (e.g. project and assignment briefs, examination papers), assessment criteria and mark sheets, consistent with the techniques identified below and QQI's assessment requirements.

Programme validation will require providers to map each learning outcome to its associated assessment technique. All learning outcomes **must** be assessed and achieved in accordance with the **minimum intended module learning outcomes** set out in the validated programme.

Portfolio / Collection of Work 50% Examination - Theory 50%

Description

Portfolio / Collection of Work

A portfolio or collection of work is a collection and/or selection of pieces of work produced by the learner over a period of time that demonstrates achievement of a range of learning outcomes. The collection may be self-generated or may be generated in response to a particular brief or tasks/activities devised by the assessor.

Examination - Theory

An examination provides a means of assessing a learner's ability to recall and apply knowledge, skills and understanding within a set period of time and under clearly specified conditions.

A theory-based examination assesses the ability to recall, apply and understand specific theory and knowledge.

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)

To support the development and implementation of RPL with regard to access, granting credit/exemptions and achievement of

awards/parts of awards, providers should refer to QQI's

Statutory Guidelines for Quality Assurance, the Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes and the Principles and Operational Guidelines for the Recognition of Prior Learning in Further and Higher Education and Training available at

www.qqi.ie

Grading Pass 50% - 64%

Merit 65% - 79%

Distinction 80% - 100%

Specific Validation Requirements

There are no specific validation requirements

Supporting Documentation

None

Access To access programmes leading to this award the learner should

have reached the standards of knowledge, skill and competence associated with the preceding level of the National Framework of Qualifications. This may have been achieved through a formal qualification or through relevant life and work experience.

Transfer Successful completion of this component award enables the

learner to transfer to programmes leading to other certificates where this component is a mandatory or an elective requirement.

2. FET Award Standards

QQI award standards are determined within the National Framework of Qualifications (NFQ), http://www.nfq-qqi.com. QQI determines standards for the education and training awards that it makes itself and that are made by providers to whom it has delegated authority to make an award. Providers offering programmes leading to QQI awards **must** have their programme(s) validated in accordance with current validation policy (see www.qqi.ie).

Award standards are designed to be consistent with the NFQ's award classes i.e. major, special purpose, supplemental and minor awards. They are expressed in terms of **learning outcomes** i.e. concise statements of what the learner is expected to know or be able to do in order to achieve a particular award. Learning outcomes for FET awards are contained within the associated specifications:

AWARD CLASS STANDARDS AWARDS

Major Award Certificate Specification Certificate (Levels 1 to 5)

Advanced Certificate (Level 6)

Supplemental Award	Supplemental Specification	Supplemental Certificate (Level 3 to 6)
Special Purpose	Specific Purpose Specification	Specific Purpose Certificate (Levels 3 to 6)
Minor Award	Component Specification	Component Certificate (Levels 1 to 6)

Award standards are thresholds, they describe standards of knowledge, skill or competence to be acquired, and where appropriate, demonstrated, by a learner before an award may be made.

Award standards will be reviewed from time to time as necessary. Minor changes may be made by the QQI executive outside the review cycle where necessary. Changes to standards are published on QQI's website. Providers with validated programmes and providers with delegated authority to make awards are responsible for monitoring relevant standards and making necessary responses to changes.

3. FET Credit

Every FET certificate and component specification includes an FET credit value (Table 1). FET credit is quantified in multiples of 5 FET credits (up to 50 hours of learner effort). Learner effort is based on the time taken by typical learners at the level of the award to achieve the learning outcomes for the award. It includes all learning time involved including: guided learning hours, self-directed learning and assessment.

Table 1: FET Credit Values

NFQ Level	Major Awards Credit Values	Default Credit Values Minor Awards	Other Permitted Minor Award Credit Values	Special Purpose and Supplemental Award Credit Value Ranges
1 2 3 4 5	20 30 60 90 120 120	5 5 10 10 15	10 10 5,20 5,15,20 5,10,30 5,10,30	>5 and<60 >5 and<90 >5 and <120 >5 and <120

Guide to Level

Learning outcomes at this level include a broad range of skills that require some theoretical understanding. The outcomes may relate to engaging in a specific activity, with the capacity to use the instruments and techniques relating to an occupation. They are associated with work being undertaken independently, subject to general direction.

Strand	Sub-strand	Nature of learning
Knowledge	Breadth	Broad range of knowledge
	Kind	Some theoretical concepts and abstract thinking, with significant depth in some areas.
		Some underpinning theory
		E

Range	Demonstrate a broad range of specialised skills and tools
Selectivity	Evaluate and use information to plan and develop investigative strategies and to determine solutions to varied unfamiliar problems
Context	Act in a range of varied and specific contexts, taking responsibility for the nature and quality of outputs; identify and apply skill and knowledge to a wide variety of contexts
Role	Exercise some initiative and independence in carrying out defined activities; join and function within multiple, complex and heterogeneous groups
Learning to Learn	Learn to take responsibility for own learning within a managed environment
Insight	Assume full responsibility for consistency of self- understanding and behaviour
	Selectivity Context Role Learning to Learn

Extract from 'Determinations for the Outline National Framework of Qualifications': NQAI