

# **Component Specification NFQ Level 5**

## Peer Education 5N2709

# 1. Component Details

Title Peer Education

Teideal as Gaeilge Piaraí Oideachas

Award Type Minor

**Code** 5N2709

Level 5

Credit Value 15

Purpose The purpose of this award is to equip the learner with the

knowledge, skill and competence to act ethically and effectively

as peer educators under direction in youth work contexts.

Learning Outcomes Lea

Learners will be able to:

- Discuss the underpinning values, principles and unique attributes of peer education approaches in the context of youth work
- 2 Summarise the qualities, skills and role of the peer educator in working with peer groups of young people in youth work contexts
- Analyse the key issues affecting young people (12-22 years) in contemporary Ireland with a specific focus on how youth work seeks to respond to those issues
- 4 Discuss the key elements that contribute to effective communication in groups of young people to include tools and techniques to enhance that communication
- 5 Employ a range of social research and analysis skills to include searching for, sourcing, evaluating,

- compiling and presenting material from a range of sources suitable for peer education projects that engage with the issues that affect young people
- Design a series of energisers, ice-breakers, warm ups, warm downs and small group exercises that can be used in working with groups of young people
- 7 Implement a peer education process, to include its planning and review, that responds to the identified needs of young people
- 8 Apply good practice in relation to the core values and principles of peer education processes
- 9 Critically reflect on the potential of peer education approaches to enhance young people's personal, social and civic development
- 10 Examine own values, strengths, challenges, boundaries, role and participation in peer education processes under the direction of a supervisory youth worker
- 11 Work effectively and ethically as a peer educator under the supervision of a youth worker in youth work context.

#### **Assessment**

### **General Information**

All assessment should be planned in accordance with the programme assessment strategy developed as part of the programme submission for validation. See **Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes**. Assessment should be undertaken consistently and reflect current assessment guidelines. See <a href="https://www.qqi.ie">www.qqi.ie</a>.

All FET assessment is criterion referenced. Successful achievement of the award is based on learners attaining the required standards of knowledge, skill or competence consistent with the **minimum intended programme learning outcomes**.

The techniques set out below are considered the optimum approach to assessment for this component. In exceptional circumstances providers may identify alternative assessment techniques through the provider's application for programme validation which are reliable and valid but which are more appropriate to their context.

Assessment of a number of components may be integrated across programmes for delivery, provided that the learning outcomes of each minor award are assessed.

Group or team work may form part of the assessment, provided each learner's achievement is separately assessed.

All providers are required to submit an assessment plan as part of their application for programme validation. Assessment Plans will include information relating to scheduling and integration of assessment. See current FET validation guidelines at www.qqi.ie.

## **Assessment Techniques**

In order to demonstrate that they have reached the standards of knowledge, skill and competence identified in all the learning outcomes, learners are required to complete the assessment(s) below.

The assessor is responsible for devising assessment instruments (e.g. project and assignment briefs, examination papers), assessment criteria and mark sheets, consistent with the techniques identified below and QQI's assessment requirements.

Programme validation will require providers to map each learning outcome to its associated assessment technique. All learning outcomes **must** be assessed and achieved in accordance with the **minimum intended module learning outcomes** set out in the validated programme.

Project	50%
Assignment	30%
Learner Record	20%

### Description

## **Project**

A project is a response to a brief devised by the assessor. A project is usually carried out over an extended period of time. Projects may involve research, require investigation of a topic, issue or problem or may involve process such as a design task, a performance or practical activity or production of an artefact or event.

### Assignment

An assignment is an exercise carried out in response to a brief with specific guidelines as to what should be included. An assignment is usually of short duration and may be carried out over a specified period of time.

### **Learner Record**

A learner record is the learner's self-reported and self-reflective record in which he/she describes specific learning experiences, activities, responses and skills acquired.

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)

To support the development and implementation of RPL with regard to access, granting credit/exemptions and achievement of awards/parts of awards, providers should refer to QQI's Statutory Guidelines for Quality Assurance, the Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes and the Principles and Operational Guidelines for the Recognition of Prior Learning in Further and Higher Education and Training available at www.qqi.ie

Grading

Pass 50% - 64%

Merit 65% - 79%

Distinction 80% - 100%

Specific Validation Requirements

There are no specific validation requirements

Supporting Documentation

None

Access

To access programmes leading to this award the learner should have reached the standards of knowledge, skill and competence associated with the preceding level of the National Framework of Qualifications. This may have been achieved through a formal qualification or through relevant life and work experience.

**Transfer** 

Successful completion of this component award enables the learner to transfer to programmes leading to other certificates where this component is a mandatory or an elective requirement.

### 2. FET Award Standards

QQI award standards are determined within the National Framework of Qualifications (NFQ), <a href="http://www.nfq-qqi.com">http://www.nfq-qqi.com</a>. QQI determines standards for the education and training awards that it makes itself and that are made by providers to whom it has delegated authority to make an award. Providers offering programmes leading to QQI awards **must** have their programme(s) validated in accordance with current validation policy (see <a href="https://www.qqi.ie">www.qqi.ie</a>).

Award standards are designed to be consistent with the NFQ's award classes i.e. major, special purpose, supplemental and minor awards. They are expressed in terms of **learning outcomes** i.e. concise statements of what the learner is expected to know or be able to do in order to achieve a particular award. Learning outcomes for FET awards are contained within the associated specifications:

AWARD CLASS	STANDARDS	AWARDS
Major Award	Certificate Specification	Certificate (Levels 1 to 5) Advanced Certificate (Level 6)
Supplemental Award	Supplemental Specification	Supplemental Certificate (Level 3 to 6)
Special Purpose	Specific Purpose Specification	Specific Purpose Certificate (Levels 3 to 6)
Minor Award	Component Specification	Component Certificate (Levels 1 to 6)

Award standards are thresholds, they describe standards of knowledge, skill or competence to be acquired, and where appropriate, demonstrated, by a learner before an award may be made.

Award standards will be reviewed from time to time as necessary. Minor changes may be made by the QQI executive outside the review cycle where necessary. Changes to standards are published on QQI's website. Providers with validated programmes and providers with delegated authority to make awards are responsible for monitoring relevant standards and making necessary responses to changes.

#### 3. FET Credit

Every FET certificate and component specification includes an FET credit value (Table 1). FET credit is quantified in multiples of 5 FET credits (up to 50 hours of learner effort). Learner effort is based on the time taken by typical learners at the level of the award to achieve the learning outcomes for the award. It includes all learning time involved including: guided learning hours, self-directed learning and assessment.

**Table 1: FET Credit Values** 

NFQ Level	Major Awards Credit Values	Default Credit Values Minor Awards	Other Permitted Minor Award Credit Values	Special Purpose and Supplemental Award Credit Value Ranges
1	20	5	10	
2	30	5	10	
3	60	10	5,20	>5 and<60
4	90	10	5,15,20	>5 and<90
5	120	15	5,10,30	>5 and <120
6	120	15	5,10,30	>5 and <120

#### **Guide to Level**

Learning outcomes at this level include a broad range of skills that require some theoretical understanding. The outcomes may relate to engaging in a specific activity, with the capacity to use the instruments and techniques relating to an occupation. They are associated with work being undertaken independently, subject to general direction.

Strand	Sub-strand	Nature of learning		
Knowledge	Breadth	Broad range of knowledge		
	Kind	Some theoretical concepts and abstract thinking, with significant depth in some areas.		
		Some underpinning theory		
Know How & Skill	Range	Demonstrate a broad range of specialised skills and tools		
	Selectivity	Evaluate and use information to plan and develop investigative strategies and to determine solutions to varied unfamiliar problems		
Competence	Context	Act in a range of varied and specific contexts, taking responsibility for the nature and quality of outputs; identify and apply skill and knowledge to a wide variety of contexts		
	Role	Exercise some initiative and independence in carrying out defined activities; join and function within multiple, complex and heterogeneous groups		
	Learning to Learn	Learn to take responsibility for own learning within a managed environment		
	Insight	Assume full responsibility for consistency of self- understanding and behaviour		

Extract from 'Determinations for the Outline National Framework of Qualifications': NQAI